THE MEASUREMENTS POSSIBILITIES OF ACOUSTIC DAMPING IN COMPOSITE MATERIALS
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Abstract. With the wider use of composite materials in aviation, the observation of their quality during exploitation is becoming more topical. We are of the opinion that acoustic technologies of all in one control offer us promising possibilities to observe and research the characteristics and parameters of composite materials. The purpose of this paper is to discuss some accepted ways of tuning the damping of the acoustic signals in non-homogeneous environments for research of composite materials.
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Introduction

While ultrasonic waves are wafting in materials, their intensity declines gradually because of absorption and scatter. Damping of the wave, which depends on the frequency of the ultrasound, is defined by the coefficient of acoustic damping – \( \alpha \). This coefficient in turn depends on the characteristics of materials and can be useful in identifying the separate states of materials.

Assuming that, the damping coefficient in study material \( \alpha(f) \) is directly proportional to the frequency of ultrasound:

\[
\alpha(f) = \beta f, \tag{1}
\]

Establishment of the bent quiescent of acoustic damping coefficient can change this measurement \( \beta \). There are several known methods to establish the bent quiescent of acoustic damping coefficient \( \beta \) while researching material in the echo-impulse way. This way of researching material is then ultrasonic wave impulses are actuated by piezoelectric converter. And these impulses are running to the same direction.

Impulses reflected from the structural elements of the specimen or its external elements are retraced to piezoelectric converter, where those signals are changed into an electric signal. After that, this electric signal is analyzed in frequentative or time ranges. The most popular are frequentative (spectral-difference and spectral-shift acoustic damping measurement) methods and time (zero-crossing and adaptable discretion) methods.

Fig 1. Echo-impulse method

Those methods are validated by the assumption that acoustic energy is wafting across the specimen in the form of a longitudinal ultrasonic wave. The key feature of those waves is damping:

\[
I_F = I_N e^{-2\alpha d}, \tag{2}
\]

Where

- \( I_N, I_F \) is the intensity of flat waves (Figure 2),
- \( d \) is the thickness of the layer,
- \( \alpha \) is the comparative damping coefficient in decibels.
Spectral-shift method

This method allows us to establish \( \beta \) according to the spectrum shift to the low frequency area of reflected ultrasonic impulse.

This method requires using ultrasonic impulses with Gauss shape envelope and harmonic carrier frequency.

\[
P_N(f) = C_N e^{-(f-f_c)^2/2B^2},
\]

Where: \( C_N \) – constant; \( B \) – the width of the ultrasonic impulse spectrum; \( f_c \) – the central spectrum frequency.

In paper [3], it was shown that spectrum \( P_F(f) \) is exactly the same Gauss shape as spectrum \( P_N(f) \). Only carrier frequency \( f_F \) is variable.

\[
f_F = f_c - 2\beta dB^2,
\]

It means that \( P_F(f) \) shifts to the low frequency area.

Thus, we can calculate the values of \( \beta \) according to the shift of carrier frequency:

\[
\beta = \frac{f_N - f_F}{2dB^2}.
\]

It was proven that the accuracies of both acoustic-damping methods are equal, although earlier it was reputed that the spectral-shift method was more exact [5]. It was so because of extra a priori information about the Gauss form of the power spectrum.

We must do a lot of calculations to establish the spectrum of ultrasonic impulse. It is the main lack of this method. Therefore the speed of measurements decreases.

Zero-crossing method

This method allows us to establish the value of \( \beta \) according to the zero-crossing density of Gauss shape ultrasonic impulses. The link between zero density \( \lambda \), average quadratic frequency \( f_1 \) and central frequency of spectrum \( f_c \) are used in this method.
The zero-crossing method has a great advantage; we do not need to calculate spectral characteristics.

Adaptable discretion method

According to the adaptable discretion method, the acoustic damping of material is adjusting by using the average adaptable discretion frequency of ultrasonic impulse.

The average quadratic frequency \( f_1 \):

\[
\lambda = 2 f_1 = 2 \left( \frac{f^2_x + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{10}
\]

\( \sigma \) – spectral dispersion.

We can describe the link between zero-crossing density of the ultrasonic impulse and alteration of damping coefficient \( \beta \):

\[
\lambda = 2 f_0 - 4 \sigma^2 \beta d + \frac{\sigma^2}{2 f_0}, \tag{11}
\]

\( f_0 \) – central spectrum frequency, which is equal to carrier frequency of ultrasonic impulse. So

\[
\beta = \left( \frac{f_0}{2 \sigma^2} - \frac{\lambda}{4 \sigma} + \frac{1}{8 f_0} \right) d. \tag{12}
\]

The zero-crossing method has a great advantage; we do not need to calculate spectral characteristics.

Double frequency method

The methods of acoustic damping measurements that we analyzed above are based on the dependence of the direct damping coefficient on frequency. Yet from the results of experiments, we can conclude that the dependence of the functional damping coefficient can vary from direct to quadratic. This variation depends on type and condition of material.

\[
\alpha(f) = \alpha_0 f^m \tag{17}
\]

Where: \( 1 \leq m \leq 2 \), \( \alpha_0 \) – damping quiescent.

Besides, to estimate the damping coefficient, it is possible to use the simpler double frequency method [10]. The double frequency method is legitimated by amplitude measurements of two reflected ultrasonic impulses generated on two different frequencies. Within the given frequentative dependence, the damping quiescent can be expressed:

\[
\alpha_0 = \frac{A_{21} - [A_{22} + A_{22}(A_{11} - A_{12})]}{2d(f_1^m - f_2^m)}, \tag{18}
\]

Where: \( A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{21}, A_{22} \) – amplitudes of ultrasonic impulses reflected from frontal and terminal surfaces of specimen. Those amplitudes are obtainable then two ultrasonic probe frequencies \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are \( f_1 < f_2 \); \( m = 1 \pm 1, 2 \); \( d \) – the thickness of layer. Yet this method requires using probe frequencies. The band of relative frequentative spectrum must be less than 0.1.

Modeling confines

All above discussed measurements methods of the acoustic damping are under the influence of the spectrum width, central frequency, mirror image, scatters in the materials. Some of these factors depend on the technique of experiment; others depend on the character of the material. It is therefore very important to choose either correct methodic for acoustic damping measurements or correct technical means.

Next we will study some aspects of mathematical modeling to estimate acoustic damping algorithms.

For computer modeling, the structure of material must be formalized and all elements of this structure must be described. The one-dimensional and direct models of the materials are used in almost every theoretical
description. We can found a suggestion; there distance between scatters is less than wavelength [11].

The dynamic characteristics of elementary layer in unipolar model case are the function of the approximate transfer $\hat{H}(s)$, the amplitude-frequency characteristic $\hat{H}(\omega)$, the phase-frequency characteristic $\hat{\phi}(\omega)$ and the pulse characteristic $\hat{h}(t)$:

$$\hat{H}(s) = a \exp \left( - \frac{st_s}{1 + bs} \right),$$

$$\hat{H}(\omega) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 + b^2 \omega^2}},$$

$$\hat{\phi}(\omega) = -act \ \text{tg}(b \omega) - t, \omega,$$

$$\hat{h}(t) = a \exp \left( - \frac{t - t_s}{b} \right) \left[ - (t - t_s) \right],$$

Where: $a, b = \text{const}$, $t_s = c d_1$ – waft delay time, $c$ – speed of ultrasound in material, $d_1$ – thickness of layer.

The calculations of coefficients $a$ and $b$ depends on the method of damping estimation and on criterion conviction of this estimation. There are three criteria that we can use to estimate the alteration of damping coefficient $\beta$ and link between coefficients $a$ and $b$ [7].

The criteria of zero bias are used in spectral-shift and zero-crossing methods. This allows us to determine the coefficients $a$ and $b$:

$$a = e^{\frac{\beta \omega_0}{(1 - \beta \omega_0)^{0.5}}},$$

$$b = \frac{\beta}{\omega_0 (1 - \beta \omega_0)^{0.5}}$$

(20)

Obviously, this suggestion is useful only then value of $\beta$ does not exceed value $\frac{1}{\omega_0}$.

Simplicity and the speed of coefficients estimation are the main advantages of zero bias criteria.

All of these criteria mentioned above have their own methodical biases of damping estimation. It is stated that for large values of $\beta$ (1-1.5 Np/MHz – values are typical for composite materials) the reduced bias is 20–30%.

The unipolar models do not appraise interference, scatter and reverberation. The problem with damping measurement can be solved only with base of definite three-dimensional modeling of wafting impulse and scatter dispersion [13].

Reflected from small capacity of the material signal can be expressed in this way:

$$r(k) = g(k) * p(k),$$

(21)

Where: symbol $*$ means operation of torsion; $g(k)$ – incidentally spread reflectors reflecting white noise; $p(k)$ – signal reflected from area of material where spectrum must be calculated. We can calculate:

$$R(f) = G(f)P(f),$$

(22)

Where: $G(f)$ – incident transfer characteristic of reflectors; $P(f)$ – spectrum of impulse.

From (21) we can make a conclusion that spectrum $R(f)$ is a multiplicatively awry copy of spectrum $P(f)$.

Conclusions

And finally we will give some objective limitations that have an influence on the estimation of acoustic damping:

1. Estimation of acoustic damping is performed according to incident distribution of scatters (then there is no definite information about reflex attribute in material).

2. Estimation of acoustic damping depends on the variation of reflection attributes in the material. Although it is already proven that acoustic damping depend more on ultrasound absorption than on distribution.

3. Frequentative dependence of acoustic damping is non-directional in some materials. That decreases the width of the spectrum of reflected impulses.

4. A priori correction of the effects of ultrasound radiation filtration effects is complicated.

5. The influence of defects in material to acoustic damping is unknown.
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