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Abstract. The paper analyses the environmental Kuznets curve relationship between greenhouse gases and chosen indicators of economic development based on the panel data of 20 countries of the EU in the period 2006–2013. Besides the typical variables, such as the share of a particular polluting industry, environmental taxes, energy taxes, research and development, the dummy variable of the crises and enterprise’s sustainability score were also included in the model. The fixed effect panel model was used as a framework for the analysis. The original contribution of this paper is that the factor referring to the enterprises’ sustainability was empirically tested in the expanded model. Higher energy taxes, research and development and the number of sustainable enterprises decrease the level of greenhouse gases. The size of agriculture, production and construction has a positive sign, which means that a higher value of the indicator is associated with a higher level of greenhouse gases. This implies that the analysed set of factors can be applied to adjust the trend in the region and might be useful for the climate change policy adjustment.
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Introduction

Over the period of about 150 years, which began with the industrial revolution, great amounts of carbon dioxide and other gases, producing the so called greenhouse effect, were released into the atmosphere. It is assumed that greenhouse gases (GHG) is affected by various factors of economic activity that are mostly referred to the gross domestic product (GDP) terms. Generally, the rising level of GHG is affected by unsustainable agriculture, excessive fuels combustion for energy, heat, transport and business as well as huge amount of waste and deforestation trends. It is the consequence of growing population and its demand. Hence, economic growth leads to higher output and thus to higher pollution ceteris paribus.
In the scientific sphere the question of how a continuous economic growth affects the level of GHG is very important and has been analysed by many scientists. The authors of some studies demonstrated the necessity of slowing down economic growth and moving to the steady state economy (Meadows et al. 1972, 2004; Randers 2012). The large group of studies analysing the relationship between the economic growth and the environment change are performed under the framework of environmental Kuznets curve (EKC). The EKC indicates that at the early stages of economic growth, pollution increases with the growing use of resources, but when a certain level of income per capita is reached, the trend reverses so that, at a higher development stage, further economic growth leads to the improvement of the environment. Under the EKC concept the relationship between carbon dioxide and economic growth was firstly analysed by the World Bank, and the results of the research showed the increasing trends (Shafik, Bandyopadhyay 1992). Till our days many studies were performed using CO2 or GHG. Some studies support the EKC hypothesis, while others find a monotonically rising trend. Researchers determined that other social and political factors were important and used this framework to test the significance of the chosen indicators. The large group of researchers estimated economic factors that are related to the energetic sector from fuel types and prices, energy usage and energy efficiency (Fosten et al. 2012; Boluk, Mert 2014; Azam, Khan 2016; Rodriguez et al. 2016; Alam et al. 2016). Others highlighted the sectorial structure (Lapinskienė et al. 2013, 2014; Alam et al. 2016), trade openness (Fujii, Managi 2013; Azam, Khan 2016; Jeblia et al. 2016), and various crises (Esteve, Tamarit 2012) as the influential factors affecting GHG. Researchers testing the demo-graphical factors used the population density, urbanisation areas as indicators strongly affected the level of GHG (Franklin, Ruth 2012; Liao, Cao 2013; Azam, Khan 2016).

The impact of business ethics on the development of human beings is a topic of various philosophic and economic discussions. Many studies deal with this link on the organizational level, while approach in this paper is new, since is done on the macro level. In that frame, there are plethora of studies dealing with the impact of ethical behaviour of individuals and their environmental and social attitudes on sustainably of organizations (Lapinskiene, Tvaronavičienė 2012; Potocan, Nedelko 2015). In this research, it is generally assumed that sustainable enterprises might help to lower the level of GHG and it is expected to have negative sign in estimation of the variable related to enterprises environment, social and governance (ESG) factor. To the best of our knowledge, it is one of the first attempt to empirically estimate the ethical level expressed through the lenses of sustainable enterprises to economic development.

The aim of this article is the evaluation of additional factors, besides keeping typical variables, the focus is on sustainable enterprises which might impact on the relationship between GHG and GDP based on the EKC approach in the chosen sample of EU countries.

The paper has the following structure. Sections 1 and 2 provide important theoretical and econometrical issues based on the considered concepts. Section 3 describes the main findings of the research. The last section summarizes the results, providing the concluding remarks, implications as well as defining possible areas for further research.
1. Literature review

The EKC is a complex model both theoretically and empirically. The authors agree that the development trajectory for pollution is likely to reflect both market forces and changes in government regulation. The EKC concept first emerged in 1991 and in 1995 with Grossman and Krueger’s pioneering study of the potential impacts of the NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement). Within the first wave of EKC studies, basic EKC models were used and income growth and its environmental impacts were estimated in the model without any explanatory variables. The latest studies combine the relationship between environmental pollution and economic growth and some other variables. Some studies support the EKC hypothesis, while others find a monotonically rising trend. The further empirical studies include some additional variables. In general articles can be divided into two groups based on main data analysis techniques. The first group comprises time series techniques for a single region or location (Fosten et al. 2012; Esteve, Tamarit 2012; Franklin, Ruth 2012; Azam, Khan 2016). The second group contains panel data techniques for the analysis of several regions (Tsurumi, Managi 2010; Wang et al. 2011; Hamit-Haggar 2012; Fujii, Managi 2013; Liao, Cao 2013; Boluk, Mert 2014).

In the first group, Fosten et al. (2012) considered the emissions of gases with respect to the environmental Kuznets curve relationship in the United Kingdom for the period from 1830 to 2003 for the CO₂ and the SO₂ models. The research showed that long-run results were in favour of the EKC hypothesis, with per capita CO₂ and SO₂ emissions, having an inverted–U relation with real GDP per capita. This suggests that mitigating of CO₂ or greenhouse gas emissions and SO₂ emissions will rely more on legislation than the reductions in economic growth. The researchers also used the gas price as the additional variable, which had partially explained the results. The authors suggested that the EKC model should be estimated by specifying and incorporating different measures of technological changes.

Esteve and Tamarit (2012) renewed the research for EKC evidence in Spain, using a linear integrated regression model with multiple structural changes. The authors used time-series data on the Spanish economy spanning from 1857 to 2007. They emphasized that the turning point in Spain was dated by 1986 and could be explained by the oil crisis of the 70s, caused by the political instability at the end of the Spanish dictatorship in 1975–1978, and by the shift in the energy mix that took place only at the beginning of the 80s. The coefficient of the relationship estimated between per-capita CO₂ and per-capita income (or long-run elasticity) in the presented model showed a tendency to decrease over time. They found that the “income elasticity” coefficient with regard to CO₂ was smaller than one. This implies that even if the shape of the EKC does not follow an inverted–U, it shows a decreasing growth path, pointing to a prospective turning point.

Franklin and Ruth (2012) contributed to time series studies, using the U.S. CO₂ emissions in the additional explanation of the potential impact of population and the economic structure. The researchers used the log squared regression equation. The total
CO₂ emissions might continue to increase. The results suggested that there were some relevant relationships between the demography and the productive structure of the economy and CO₂ emissions. The authors offered to choose the strategies that foster consumption choices consistent with those seen in a society with high elderly dependency ratios as they would more strongly guarantee the sustainable way.

Azam and Khan (2016) performed the empirical research based on annual time series data covering period 1975–2014. This study verified quantitatively the EKC hypothesis in the context of Tanzania (low income country), Guatemala (low middle income country), China (upper middle income country) and USA (high income country). Energy usage, trade openness and urbanisation growth rates were included in the model as additional factors affecting EKC. The results showed that CO₂ emissions is positively related to energy consumption and trade openness in all four countries. Hence, authors concluded that each country should systemize energy consumption and formulate environment friendly trade policy at the national level to meet future demand and mitigate environment degradation in order to achieve the ultimate goal of sustainable economic growth and development.

In the second group of studies, Tsurumi and Managi (2010) examined the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis for carbon dioxide, using generalized additive models with a generic flexible functional form, allowing a potentially non-linear non-monotonic relationship. A sample covered 30 OECD countries for the period 1960–2003. The results imply that economic growth was not sufficient to decrease CO₂ emissions. The first group had a negative slope for the high-income levels, while the second group had a monotonically increasing trend at all income levels, and the third group displayed other trends or had confidence intervals which were too wide to interpret. Their results obtained by these authors suggested that economic growth is not sufficient to decrease CO₂ emissions.

Wang et al. (2011) performed a panel data analysis of carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth in 138 countries in the period of 1971–2010. The chosen sample was divided into five quintiles according to the level of CO₂ emissions in every country. In the process of increasing CO₂ emissions quintiles, the growth of GDP would be higher than CO₂ emissions, with income elasticity decreasing from more than one to below zero. The empirical results showed that the long-run relationship between the global carbon dioxide emissions and GDP was stable. The paper suggested that the top priority to mitigate global warming should be focusing on the countries with a high economic growth and a strong increase in carbon dioxide emission.

Hamit-Haggar (2012) performed the study, which analyses the causal relationship between greenhouse gas emissions, energy consumption and economic growth to a panel of Canadian industries over the period 1990–2007. These industries are responsible for about 56% of the total industrial greenhouse gas emitted in 2007 in Canada. The paper is more specifically to determine the nature of the long-run equilibrium and the causal relationship between greenhouse gas emissions, energy consumption and economic growth by taking into consideration the hypothesis of cross-section dependence.
The results showed that, there is a strong evidence of a long-run relationship between greenhouse gas emissions and energy consumption. Within industries, almost all industries indicate that energy consumption has statistically significant impact on greenhouse gas emissions. Authors suggested that other factors not included in this model should be taken into account such as technology, taxes, trade, and so on.

Fujii and Managi (2013) assumed that CO₂ emission for an entire country was unclear and did not show individual industrial characteristics or fuel choices. Following the ideas of economic scale, technology level and composition effects on the shape of the EKC, the authors chose to estimate the EKC relationship separately, controlling these effects by the type of industry and type of fuel. They hypothesized that the EKC relationship between CO₂ and growth would be possible for such industries as the wood, wood products and the paper, pulp and printing industries, which do not use fossil fuels as intermediate fuels and whose product value per weight is lower than that of the others. For other industries, referring, in particular, to steel and metal, which use coal as their main intermediate fuel, CO₂ would increase proportionally with the production growth. They considered that the EKC relationship observed in the previous studies could be explained by industrial structural changes. It was found that overall CO₂ emissions showed the N–shape trend. The EKC hypothesis was supported by the study of the industries of producing wood, wood products, paper and pulp, as well as printing and construction industries. CO₂ emissions from coal and oil increased with economic growth in upstream industries. Hence, a conclusion was made that three industries were greener than the nine analysed with respect to CO₂ emissions.

Liao and Cao (2013) examined the historical relationship between the economic development and carbon dioxide emission in 132 countries for the period of 1971–2009. They included in their empirical analysis such factors as urbanisation, population density, trade and energy mix. It was concluded, that while the economic development continued to drive up CO₂ emission, urbanisation, population density, trade and energy mix would potentially contribute to the reduction of the absolute level of CO₂ per capita emission. The authors noted that their results did not support the inverted–U shape concept, but rather described the trend observed in high income segments as a saturation of trend. As most of the countries are still below some threshold income per capita level, the economic policy mix, helping to foster green technology development and the additional CO₂ emission reduction measures should be implemented to offset a negative stage of income and CO₂ relationship. Otherwise, consistent with a historical trend, poorer countries will still need considerable emission volumes to outweigh their economic backwardness.

Boluk and Mert (2014) utilized panel data fixed effect analysis to examine the relationship between greenhouse gases, energy consumption (fossil energy and renewable energy) and GDP. The paper proposed and estimated a panel model for EU-16 over the period of 1990–2008. Estimated coefficients state that pollution increases with both fossil and renewable energy consumption. The fossil fuel consumption leads to a greater increase in pollution levels than renewable energy consumption. This implies that much more improvement in energy efficiency and/or, a shift in the energy mix towards less
polluting energies (renewable energy technologies) could be very important in achieving environmental targets.

Despite the large number of empirical investigations made to date regarding the EKC, the results are not conclusive. The corroboration of the EKC hypothesis also depends on the country sample showing the different empirical results between regions and countries, differences have been observed when considering, time-series or panel data characteristics.

The empirical investigations of factors, affecting GHG or CO₂ as the main indicators referring climate change, shows that researcher used various indicators assessing the significance of special social – economic factors. These factors can be grouped in some areas: the prices of fuels (oil, gas, coal), various shocks (financial, political), sector structure (structure in production and consumption), demography (urbanisation, population density), trade openness, energy (usages, efficiency, type of fuel) and others (political system).

Based on theoretical studies, economic logic and available statistical variables for the chosen sample, following factors were estimated using the expanded EKC model: the share of a particular polluting industry, environmental taxes, energy taxes, R&D, the dummy variable of the crises and the sustainability score.

2. Model specification

In this research, GHG represents a dependable variable of a country’s environmental characteristics. In the EKC models, the GDP per capita has been taken as the variable capturing the activities and welfare of the particular state’s citizens, which is assumed to affect the form of the EKC. GDP expressed in purchasing power parity is specifically used in this research for minimizing the potential differences in prices between the countries, which may arise at different stages of development. In this study it is assumed that the estimation of statistical significance of specific economic factors such as tax policy, the particular economic structure and R&D expenditure can explain the height of EKC for the chosen sample. In addition, it is supposed that in Europe the leader in spreading ethical and social values in business countries may demonstrate a relationship between GHG and ESG variables. Three causes (the scale of economic activity, the structure of GDP, technological development referring as R&D) has been chosen as the classical ones, in addition environmental taxes and energy taxes, referring to the policy options, and the enterprises’ sustainability measured using ESG factors has been selected. The composition of economic activities influences the level of the environmental degradation as the consumption of resources and degradation intensity are different in different sectors of economy. It is assumed that improving technologies will lead to better environment without limiting general growth trends. In the context of sustainable development and green economy it is assumed that research and experimental development may be more related to environment friendly technologies and processes. Environmental and energy taxes is one of the factors affecting market mechanism forces playing out in EKC analysis and which can be actively managed by policy makers. Within ecological mod-
ernisation the monitoring and making visible of environmental flows and qualities was believed to be one of the crucial processes for environmental reform. In order to fulfil the lack of qualitative data representing environment preferences in company level, in 2004 Bloomberg (2015) has introduced an index which can validate companies’ activities in environmental, social and governance (ESG) pillars. Currently, ESG is employed to describe all the responsibilities of firms to their stakeholders, particularly, voluntary codes that exceed legislated requirements. The accounting data and external information have been taken from the Bloomberg platform (2015).

In this study the empirical validation has the aim to test the chosen additional factors, which might impact the relationship between GHG and GDP in the EU region. Five theoretical factors and proxy variables effecting EKC have been chosen for the analysis (Table 1).

In order to test the statistical significance of these variables, the classical reduced EKC equation has been expanded by additional variables. The selected theoretical model for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Theoretical factors</th>
<th>Proxy variables</th>
<th>Description of proxy variable</th>
<th>Expected sign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classical factors</td>
<td>The scale of economic activity</td>
<td>GDP per capita</td>
<td>GDP in PPS (Euro per capita)</td>
<td>Positive or negative (indicates EKC form)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The structure of economy</td>
<td>The share of a particular polluting industry</td>
<td>Agriculture, Production and Construction sectors value added as percentage of total GDP</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technological development</td>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>Research and experimental development include all expenditures within the enterprise business sector on the national territory during a given period and is shown as a percentage of GDP</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political-governance factors</td>
<td>Economic and fiscal instruments</td>
<td>Environmental taxes</td>
<td>As percent of the total taxes and social contributions</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Energy taxes</td>
<td>Ratio of energy tax revenues to final energy consumption (Euro per tonne)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-demographical factors</td>
<td>Voluntary activities</td>
<td>ESG score</td>
<td>For any particular country ESG disclosure score is calculated as a Market Cap Weighted Average of ESG disclosure score of companies disclosing ESG data listed in a county’s exchange</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: made by authors.*
this research is given below:

\[
GHG_{it} = \alpha + \mu_i + \beta_1 GDP_{it} + \beta_2 GDP_{it}^2 + \beta_3 SECT_{it} + \beta_4 RD_{it} + \beta_5 TAX_{it} + \\
\beta_6 ENERTAX_{it} + \beta_7 ESGSCORE + CRIS08_{it} + \epsilon_{it},
\]

where:
- \(GHG_{it}\) is a dependent variable for country \(i\) in time \(t\);
- \(GDP_{it}\) is an independent variable for country \(i\) in time \(t\);
- \(SECT_{it}\) is an independent variable for country \(i\) in time \(t\);
- \(RD_{it}\) is an independent variable for country \(i\) in time \(t\);
- \(TAX_{it}\) is an independent variable for country \(i\) in time \(t\);
- \(ENERTAX_{it}\) is an independent variable for country \(i\) in time \(t\);
- \(ESGSCORE_{it}\) is an independent variable for country \(i\) in time \(t\);
- \(Cris08_{i}\) is a dummy variable for country \(i\) in 2008 in time \(t\);
- \(\beta\) denotes the regression coefficients;
- \(\mu_i\) is the cross-section specific effect;
- \(\epsilon_{it}\) is an error term.

The study employs the equation form used by Holtz-Eakin and Selden (1995), Wang et al. (2011), He and Wang (2012), Fujii and Managi (2013), and Boluk and Mert (2014). The study follows the panel data analysis performed by Boluk and Mert (2014), and Lopez-Menendez et al. (2014).

3. The empirical investigation

The empirical study is based on the panel data; therefore, the econometric fixed effect panel data model is used for testing the hypothesis. The data sets for the analysis are chosen from Eurostat (2015) for the period 2006–2013. Only the countries with complete data sets were chosen for the analysis to avoid possible data gaps. In order to avoid potential distortions and/or very small beta coefficients in quadratic model estimation, the data for GHG and GDP were normalised to vary between 0 and 1, where the smallest value of the sample is equal to 0, and the largest value is equal to 1. At the same time, this facilitates the comparison of the results, as for example 0.5 equals to the average EU level. The variables, such as the share of a particular polluting industry, R&D, environmental taxes, energy taxes, dummy variable for the first year of the financial crises and countries ESG factor were included in the model. The variables have been chosen based on the economic logic presented above and the available statistical data. The extended EKC analysis helps to verify if the selected variables have a statistically significant impact on the EKC relationship. The parameters of the model are in agreement with the theoretical econometric methodology. The results of the statistical analysis covering the whole period are presented in Table 2 and explained below.

For the panel model estimation, the Eviews software was used as an instrument to estimate the pooled EGLS (cross-section weight) method. The model was validated by the characteristics of the fitted model: \(R^2\) and Adjusted \(R^2\); P-values of Fisher and Student tests and residual analysis. As ESG concept is introduced only recently, and its statistical
Table 2. Regression parameter estimates for the extended model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. error</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.183675</td>
<td>0.050529</td>
<td>-3.635070</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNGDP2_?</td>
<td>1.158970</td>
<td>0.219755</td>
<td>5.273918</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNGDP2_?^2</td>
<td>-1.002812</td>
<td>0.277191</td>
<td>-3.617761</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAXI_?</td>
<td>0.087480</td>
<td>0.012477</td>
<td>7.011110</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENERGTAX_?</td>
<td>-0.000860</td>
<td>9.43E−05</td>
<td>-9.114809</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESGSCORE_?</td>
<td>-0.000373</td>
<td>9.42E−05</td>
<td>-3.963977</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECT_?</td>
<td>0.008955</td>
<td>0.000774</td>
<td>11.57391</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIS08</td>
<td>-0.004869</td>
<td>0.001077</td>
<td>-4.519146</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD_?</td>
<td>-9.84E−05</td>
<td>2.43E−05</td>
<td>-4.051752</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fixed Effects (Cross)
- LITHUANIA--C: -0.139171
- LATVIA--C: -0.130984
- ESTONIA--C: 0.067978
- GREECE--C: 0.159457
- SPAIN--C: -0.024036
- ITALY--C: 0.014589
- PORTUGAL--C: -0.051318
- DENMARK--C: 0.149012
- BELGIUM--C: 0.084539
- FRANCE--C: 0.043074
- GERMANY--C: 0.117103
- NETHERLANDS--C: 0.066779
- AUSTRIA--C: -0.027568
- SWEDEN--C: -0.205931
- UK—C: 0.098447
- IRELAND—C: 0.177090
- POLAND—C: 0.008149
- SLOVAKIA—C: -0.098704
- SLOVENIA—C: -0.154102
estimation is available only starting from 2006 year, therefore some of analysed model’s statistical characteristics should be taken with care due to short estimation time span. When the P-value is lower than 0.05, it indicates that this coefficient has a statistically significant explanatory power with the probability of 95%. The model was validated by the characteristics of the fitted model. $R^2$ is 0.991, and Adjusted $R^2$ is 0.989. $R^2$ is very high due to its estimation specific for pooled data series. The P-value of Student’s test provided in the column “Prob” was used to determine the statistical significance of the estimated coefficients of the proxy variables. F–statistics of the final extended quadratic model is 564.3328 and probability of F–statistics being zero is non-existent.

In this case, Durbin-Watson stat is 1.652, indicating a substantial serial correlation of the residuals due to short analysis time span.

Summing up the results of the estimation coefficients, several conclusions could be made. First, based on mathematical logic, the expanded model may not always show the existence of the EKC form because additional variables change the form of a function. However, the signs of the coefficients by GDP > 0 and GDP$^2$ < 0 indicate that it could be a form of the inverted–U . Second, the existence of differences between the pollution levels, i.e. the height of EKC, not captured by the factors analysed, in different countries in the model is demonstrated by the differences between a country’s intercepts. Third, in general, it can be seen in Table 2 that the considered indicators produce a statistically significant effect.

The existence of differences between pollution levels in different countries not explained by the analysed variables is demonstrated by the remaining differences between a country’s intercepts (i.e. the height of EKC). The higher is the intercept of a country, the higher is the unadjusted GHG level. As a general case, countries having a higher de-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. error</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUNGARY—C</td>
<td>-0.109754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINLAND—C</td>
<td>-0.114433</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZECH_REPUBLIC—C</td>
<td>0.069785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**End of Table 2**
velopment level tend to have a higher EKC (Denmark, Ireland), while less developed countries have a lower EKC (Latvia, Lithuania). The applied EKC estimation method allows evaluating the specific effect of a variable not only on the form but also on the height of EKC (having other effects fixed).

It was expected to get a positive sign of the economic structure, and it had been obtained. Optimisation of the structure of the three industries needed a long development process. Appropriate reduction in the proportion of highly polluting sectors and the development of the service sector, high-tech sector and other tertiary industries may reduce the pollution emission. This conclusion is in line with the conclusions of Baodong and Xiaokun (2011), He and Wang (2012).

The traditional EKC theory pointed out that the technological effect is one of the factors, which drive the declining part of EKC throughout the entire period of economic development. Many researchers highlighted potential problems related to the correct estimation of R&D proxy due to differences in specific countries and an unknown time lag of the R&D impact; nevertheless, the same direction was proved by Auffhammer et al. (2008) and Yin et al. (2015).

An effective measure used in the environmental policy is associated with the environmental taxes. However, the result is not straightforward: the regression coefficient of the taxes has an expected negative sign, but the environmental taxes do not have the expected impact, since the coefficient of the environmental taxes has a positive sign in the model, implying that higher taxes result in a higher GHG level. This may be explained by the fact that the category of overall environmental taxes is too broad, and not necessarily directed to regulate the level of GHG.

The increase of energy taxes affects the decrease of the level of GHG, this relationship is more direct than overall environmental taxes and it is an easy way to explain. The results obtained show that their growth helps to reduce the level of GHG and is in line with Boluk and Mert (2014). As useful welfare policies, environmental regulations can control pollution overall and thus produce similar joint action on controlling the GHG emissions, but it may generate environmental inequities.

The regression coefficients next to variables referring to the global financial crisis have a negative sign, which means that during these crises, short-term changes in economic relationships decreased the GHG level even more than indicated by the slowing economic growth. It confirms a conclusion that the level of GHG could be impacted by unexpected shocks.

The regression coefficients next to ESG score have a negative sign, which means that a higher number of enterprises implementing ESG practices lead to lower a level of GHG in a country. This short ESG policy analysis shows that corporations implementing ESG practices have statistically significant impact on the height of the EKC curve. Deeper discussions and analysis in this area should be of interest for further research.
Conclusions

The estimation of the effects produced on GHG by the economic growth and related various external factors can be viewed as a tool supporting a country’s strategic decision. In this paper, the expanded EKC model estimating the relationship between GHG and GDP and some additional factors (e.g. the share of a particular polluting industry, R&D, environmental taxes, energy taxes, the dummy variables representing year of the crises as well as the estimation of country’s enterprises sustainability) is tested empirically for the twenty EU countries. In order to test the effects of different factors in the European Union countries, the estimation was made by the fixed effect panel model. In general, the research confirmed the presence of the inverted–U relationship. Hence, countries having a higher development level tend to be on the higher phase of EKC (Denmark, Ireland) and already showing decreasing trends, while countries which are less developed are on the lower phase EKC, but showing increasing trend (Latvia, Lithuania). The empirical expanded EKC model estimation suggests that the analysed factors are relevant for the management of climate change as they demonstrate a statistically significant effect on the dynamics of GHG, however, the direction determined by estimating one variable (environmental taxes) does not correspond to economic logic. The size of agriculture, production and construction has a positive sign and therefore negatively affects the level of GHG. The variable of R&D, energy taxes, the dummy variables representing the year of financial crises as well as the number of enterprise sustainability have a negative sign, which means that a higher value of these indicators is associated with a lower level of GHG.

The following new results in the field of economics have been obtained in the present investigation – a model integrating the main factors influencing the emissions of the GHG, which is suitable for modelling the trends of EKC development, has been created and empirically tested. In addition to classical factors: sectorial structure, environmental taxes, energy taxes, research and experimental development expenditure a new factor reflecting business sustainability was analysed.

In terms of practical implications this paper is useful for policy adjustments on the national level in order to enhance sustainability of organizations, due to the positive impact of higher level of sustainability on reducing GHG. The empirical investigation has revealed that the chosen variable representing companies working in any country sustainability could have positive effect on countries environmental indicators. Hence, in this context, it is assumed that environmental incentives, comprising various states’ policy tools and voluntary activities, should lead to better environmental quality and competitiveness, at the same time.

The paper has some limitations. First, the concept of ESG and, specifically, its quantitative evaluation are still completely new, and there is no consensus on the methods of its estimation, which can reduce the power of the analysis and/or conclusions. Second, some countries were not included due to the missing data.

The avenues for future research might be extended into some other areas: using the proposed technique, other environmental variables could be tested, going beyond the scope of “voluntarily activities of ESG”, another direction of studies may be related to the deeper analysis of the indicators referring the way of country or company sustainability in the framework of the EKC.
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