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Abstract. In spread footings, the rotational spring constants, which represent the soil-structure interaction, play an important role in the structural analysis and design. To assign the behaviour of soil, which is generally represented via Winkler-type tensionless springs, necessitates time consuming iterative computing procedures in practice. In this study, a straightforward approach is proposed for the soil-structure interaction of rigid spread footings especially subjected to excessive eccentric loading. By considering the uplift of footing, the rotational spring constants of those type footings under axial load and biaxial bending are easily attained through the proposed simplified formulations. Since these formulations enable manual calculation, iterative computer efforts are not required. The formulations under consideration can be applicable to symmetric and non-symmetric rigid spread footings. The numerical results of this study are verified with SAP2000.
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Introduction
A footing is a sub-structural component transferring the loads from the superstructure to soil. Spread footings are widely used in various types of civil engineering structures such as bridges, wind towers, large-span and low-rise structures. The soil-structure interaction has a major importance on the deflections, second-order effects and stability for the analysis and design of those structures.

There are numerous studies in the current literature to design and analyses of spread footings. However, no significant work on the determination of rotational spring constant in spread footings regarding the uplifting from soil. A literature survey on this subject mentioned above is summarized below.

Wilson (1997) proposed a practical solution tool that may be used by bridge engineers to compute design components of rectangular footings. Aristizabal-Ochoa (2002) presented the criteria to assess the base rotational spring restraint for the second-order analysis of a cantilevered precast concrete column on an isolated reinforced concrete footing and its anchorages with piling in elastic soil. Allotey and Naggar (2003) investigated the moment-rotation response of rigid spread footings resting on Winkler soil model. Uplift-yield foundation conditions were derived in their study. The full definition of entire static moment-rotation response can be handled by the developed solutions for uplift-and yield-only conditions. Wang et al. (2005) presented a paper for comprehensive review of state-of-art on the analysis of beams and plates resting on elastic foundations considering the interaction of between structure and supporting soil media. Z. C. Girgin and K. Girgin (2005) represented the numerical method focusing non-uniform beam-columns on resting on variable one-or two-parameter elastic foundations or supported by no foundation; a variable iterative algorithm is developed for computer application of the method. Z. C. Girgin and K. Girgin (2006) proposed a generalized numerical method for non-uniform Timoshenko beam–columns subjected to several effects through a unified approach based on the Mohr method. In their study, Winkler-type elastic foundation modulus and shear foundation modulus can be considered. Gerelymos and Gazetas (2006a) studied on a Winkler model with four types of generalized springs for the lateral static and dynamic response of rigid caissons in a homogeneous elastic soil. Gerelymos and Gazetas (2006b) developed a nonlinear Winkler-spring method for the static, cyclic, and dynamic response of caisson foundations. The nonlinear behaviour of soil can be modeled realistically by using suitable couple translational and rotational nonlinear interaction springs and dashpots. Apostolou et al. (2007) investigated the rocking and overturning response of slender rigid structures...
allowed to uplifting. The structure rests on the surface of either a rigid base or a linearly elastic continuum. In their study, a large-displacement approach is adopted. Özmen (2011) developed a general method to obtain the base pressures of rectangular footings under biaxial bending. In this study, since position of the neutral axis is not known initially, a process of successive approximations is developed. Orakdöğen et al. (2008) presented a case study on the performance evaluations of a 3D building strengthened by additional shear-walls. The soil-structure interaction is included in the push-over analysis by considering the tensionless elastic-plastic Winkler soil model. Different foundation types such as mat foundation, continuous or single footings are considered in their study. Rodríguez-Gutierrez and Aristizabal-Ochoa (2013a) introduced an analytical method capable of determining the axial load and biaxial moment capacities concerning rigid footing of arbitrary shape resting on soil. Uniform, linear and parabolic types of soil pressure distributions are considered to design spread footings. Rodríguez-Gutierrez and Aristizabal-Ochoa (2013b) proposed design nomograms for isolated rectangular and symmetrical trapezoidal rigid spread footings subjected to biaxial bending and axial load to directly determine maximum axial load and biaxial moment capacities without exceeding the bearing capacity of the supporting soil. Gazetas et al. (2013) developed formulas and charts in the dimensionless format to obtain nonlinear effective rotational stiffness of foundations of any (reasonable) shape. Anastasopoulos and Kontoroupi (2014) offered a simplified method to analyze the seismic performance of rocking systems, taking account of soil inelasticity and foundation uplifting. The soil–foundation system is replaced by springs and dash-pots. There exists some design standards which are Fema 273 (1997) and FHWA-RC (2014) about this subject. In addition, half-space theory may be considered as an alternative approach for the determination of rotational spring constants of rigid spread footings (Smoltczyk 2002).

If the uplift of spread footings subjected to excessive eccentric loading is disregarded, their rotational spring constants can be easily assigned through multiplying the moment of inertia of footing with the subgrade reaction of soil. However, as the eccentricity increases, the uplift from Winkler-type tensionless soil becomes prominent, thus above simple approach leads to improper results. To solve this problem, the non-linear solution methods are required that generally necessitates time consuming iterative procedures. In this study, the simplified formulations enabling the manual calculation are proposed to avoid from the iterative computer procedures. These formulations are developed to assess the rotational spring constants of circular and rectangular rigid spread footings subjected to axial load and biaxial bending by taking the foundation uplift from the soil into consideration. Since only the soil pressure distribution is regarded, these formulations can be applicable to the non-symmetric rigid spread footings as well as symmetric ones.

1. Rigid spread footings subjected to axial force and bending moment

In this section the rigid spread footings under the effect of axial force and bending moment are addressed. The types of spread footing under consideration in this study are circular and rectangular. The assumptions to compute the rotational spring constant in these footings are as follows:

a) The footing is circular or rectangular;

b) The footing behaves as a rigid body;

c) Distribution of soil pressure and the location of zero pressure are linear;

d) Tensionless Winkler-type soil is considered under the footing;

e) Static loading case is under consideration.

The rotational spring constant \( K_r \) is defined as the bending moment to rotate the rigid footing one degree around the specified global direction and it is expressed as:

\[
K_r = \frac{M}{\theta},
\]

where \( M \) and \( \theta \) are the bending moment and rotation of rigid footing, respectively.

1.1. Circular spread footings

A typical circular spread footing under axial force and bending moment is illustrated in Figure 1. Herein \( R, M, N, \sigma_1, d_1, x \) and \( K_r \) signify the diameter, bending moment, axial force, maximum soil pressure, maximum displacement, depth of compressive zone from the neutral axis and coefficient of subgrade reaction of soil, respectively. The eccentricity \( (e) \) is defined as:

\[
e = \frac{M}{N}.
\]

If the eccentricity \( (e) \) is equal and less than \( R/8 \), a tension zone is not under consideration. For this case, the rotational spring constant of circular spread footing \( K_r \) is written:

\[
K_r = K_s I = K_s \frac{\pi R^4}{64},
\]

where \( I \) is the moment of inertia of footing.

If the eccentricity \( (e) \) is greater than \( R/8 \), the tension zone will occur (Fig. 1). In this case, the equations given in Inan (1988), maximum soil pressure and depth of the compressive zone in circular spread footing can be written by using following equations:

\[
\sigma_1 = 4 \left[ 0.372 + 0.056 \left( \frac{1 - 2 \frac{e}{R}}{\frac{R}{N}} \right)^{1/2} \right] \left( \frac{N}{R^2} \right),
\]

\[
x = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2.33 \left( 1 - \frac{e}{R} \right)^{3/2} + 0.58 \left( 1 - \frac{e}{R} \right)^3 \right] R.
\]
In the case of uplift, the rotation of spread footing ($\theta$) can be expressed by using the Eqns (4) and (5):

$$\theta = \frac{d_1}{x} = \frac{8N}{K_s R^3} \gamma^{5/2} \left(\frac{0.372 + 0.056\gamma}{2.33 + 0.58\gamma^2}\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where:

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{2e}{R}. \hspace{1cm} (6a)$$

The rotational spring constant $K_r$ can be written in terms of the eccentricity and $\mu$:

$$K_r = \mu \frac{K_s \pi R^4}{64}; \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

$$e \leq \frac{R}{8} \rightarrow \mu = 1; \hspace{1cm} (7a)$$

$$e > \frac{R}{8} \rightarrow \mu = \frac{8e\gamma^{5/2}}{\pi R} \left(\frac{2.33 + 0.58\gamma^2}{0.372 + 0.056\gamma}\right), \hspace{1cm} (7b)$$

where $\mu$ is a coefficient corresponding to the variation of the eccentricity.

1.2. Rectangular spread footings

Rectangular spread footing is another footing type under consideration. A typical footing subjected to the axial load and bending moment is displayed in Figure 2.

If the eccentricity is equal and less than $h/6$, a tension zone does not exist. The rotational spring constant of footing can be defined as:

$$K_r = K_s I = K_s \frac{bh^3}{12}, \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $h$ represents the length of footing in the direction of bending moment, $b$ is the perpendicular length to $h$.

If the eccentricity is greater than $h/6$, the vertical and moment equilibrium equations for the point 3 can be written, the maximum soil pressure $\sigma_1$ can be handled:

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{2N}{3b\left(h - e\right)} \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

and maximum displacement at point 1 is defined as:

$$d_1 = \frac{\sigma_1}{K_s} = \frac{2N}{3K_s b\left(h - e\right)} \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

then the rotation of rigid footing $\theta$ is calculated:

$$\theta = \frac{d_1}{x} = \frac{\sigma_1}{3K_s \left(h - e\right)^2} = \frac{2N}{9K_s b\left(h - e\right)^2} \hspace{1cm} (11)$$
and through Eqn (1), the rotational spring constant is:

\[ K_r = \frac{9K_eh^3}{2}\left(\frac{h}{2} - e\right)^2. \] (12)

Briefly, using Eqns (8) and (12), the rotational spring constant of rectangular footing \( K_r \) can be calculated via the eccentricity and \( \mu \) coefficient:

\[ K_r = \mu K_e \frac{bh^3}{12}; \] (13)

\[ e \leq \frac{h}{6} \rightarrow \mu = 1.0; \] (13a)

\[ e > \frac{h}{6} \rightarrow \mu = \frac{54e}{h^3} \left(\frac{h}{2} - e\right)^2. \] (13b)

The variation between distribution of soil pressure and rotational spring constant \( rK \) is shown depending on the eccentricity in Figure 3.

1.3. Rectangular rigid spread footing subjected to axial force and biaxial bending

In this section, it is addressed how to be described the rotational spring constants of rectangular spread footing subjected to axial force and biaxial bending. There are five possible cases corresponding to five zones for rotational spring constants in Figure 4.

First the following basic definition are made:

\[ e_x = \frac{M_y}{N}, \quad e_y = \frac{M_x}{N}; \] (14)

\[ \xi = \frac{e_x}{h}, \quad \eta = \frac{e_y}{b}; \] (15)

where \( e_x \) and \( e_y \) are the eccentricities, \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) signify the dimensionless ones.

**Case I** (\( \xi \leq 1/6 \) and \( \eta \leq 1/6 \))

In Zone I, rotational spring constants of spread footing \( K_{rx} \) and \( K_{ry} \) are defined as:

\[ K_{rx} = K_e \frac{bh^3}{12}, \quad K_{ry} = K_e \frac{bh^3}{12}. \] (16)

The procedure explained below is followed for the calculation of rotational spring constants regarding to the cases of II, III, IV and V that tension zone occurs at the foundation soil:

1) The maximum compressive stresses and the locations of neutral axes can be expressed through the equations in Trupia and Saygun (2009).

2) The displacements due to the corresponding soil stresses are calculated on the principal axes of the footing foundation.

3) \( x_0 \) and \( y_0 \) distances in the Figures 5 to 8 are determined.

4) \( \theta_x \) and \( \theta_y \) rotations of the footing are achieved by dividing the end displacements by \( x_0 \) and \( y_0 \) respectively.

5) \( \theta_x \) and \( \theta_y \) rotations in principal axes of spread footing are calculated, \( K_{rx} \) and \( K_{ry} \) rotational spring constants can be determined through the following expressions:

\[ K_{rx} = \frac{M_y}{\theta_x}, \quad K_{ry} = \frac{M_y}{\theta_y}. \] (17)
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**Case II** ($\xi \geq 0.25$ and $\eta \geq 0.25$)

This case is represented by Zone II in Figure 5. The following expressions are used for the determination of $K_{rx}$ and $K_{ry}$ defined in Eqn (17):

\[
\xi = \eta = \frac{-6N}{bh}\;
\]

\[
\theta_x = \frac{\sigma_{max}}{K_h h_1}, \quad \theta_y = \frac{\sigma_{max}}{K_h h_1}.
\]

**Case III** ($\xi < 0.25$ and $\eta \geq \eta(\xi) = 0.25 + \frac{16}{3}(\xi - 0.25)^3$)

This case corresponds to Zone III in Figure 6. Footing rotations $\theta_x$ and $\theta_y$ in principal axes are calculated by leading expressions:

\[
k_1 = \frac{-2\xi}{1 - 2\xi} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - 12\xi^2}}{1 + 4\xi};
\]

\[
h_1 = \frac{h}{1 - k_1}, \quad b_1 = \frac{h_1 - 2h(1 - 2\eta) + k_1^2 + k_1^3}{1 + k_1 + k_1^2 + k_1^3};
\]

\[
\sigma_1 = \sigma_{max} = \frac{3}{1 - 2\eta} \frac{1 + k_1 + k_1^2 + k_1^3}{1 + k_1 + k_1^2} N;
\]

\[
\theta_x = \frac{\sigma_{max} b_1}{K_h h_1}, \quad \theta_y = \frac{\sigma_{max}}{K_h h_1}.
\]

**Case IV** ($\xi \geq \xi(\eta) = 0.25 + \frac{16}{3}(\eta - 0.25)^3$ and $\eta < 0.25$)

Zone IV corresponds to this case shown in Figure 7. The following way is tracked step by step for the determination of $\theta_x$ and $\theta_y$:

\[
k_2 = \frac{-2\eta}{1 - 2\eta} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - 12\eta^2}}{1 + 4\eta};
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
h_i &= (1 - 2\xi) \frac{1 + k_2 + k_3^2}{1 + k_2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2} 2h_i, \\
\theta &= \frac{b}{1 - k_2} ;
\end{align*}
\] (26)

\[
\sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{max}} = \frac{3}{1 - 2\xi} \left( 1 + k_2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2 \right) \frac{N}{bh};
\] (27)

\[
\theta_x = \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}} h_i}{K_s h_i} 1 + k_1, \\
\theta_y = \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}} h_i}{2K_s h_i} \left( 1 + k_2 \right) b_k - k_1 (2h_2 - b) .
\] (32)

\[
\text{Case V (} \xi \leq 0.25 + 16 / 3(\xi - 0.25)^3 \text{ and } \\
\eta \leq 0.25 + 16 / 3(\eta - 0.25)^3 \text{)}
\]

This case is represented by Zone V in Figure 4, soil pressure distribution for the Case V is given in Figure 8. After moment equilibrium equations are written for the top and right side of the footing, leading fourth order equations can be derived as:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - k_1)^3 (1 + k_1) - 4\xi (1 - k_1^2) (1 - k_1) - 2(1 - 2\xi) (1 - k_1) k_2^3 + k_3^4 = 0; \\
(1 - k_2)^3 (1 + k_1) - 2\eta (1 - k_2^2) (1 - k_2) - 2(1 - 2\eta) (1 - k_2) k_1^3 + k_4^4 = 0.
\end{align*}
\] (29a)

The minimum roots of equations \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are obtained from Eqn (29a) and Eqn (29b). Then \( \theta_x \) and \( \theta_y \) are expressed as the follows:

\[
h_i = \frac{h}{1 - k_1}, \quad b_1 = \frac{b}{1 - k_2}, \quad b_2 = k_1 h_i ;
\] (30)

\[
\sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{max}} = \frac{6N(1 - k_1)(1 - k_2)}{bh(1 - k_1 - k_2)} ;
\] (31)

2. Numerical examples

In this section, the numerical examples about the rotational spring constants of rigid spread footings are presented to reveal the efficiency of formulation developed herein. The coefficient of subgrade reaction for soil \( (K_s) \) is given 20,000 kN/m² in all examples.

2.1. Circular footing

Herein, a circular rigid footing of 6.00 m diameter resting on tensionless Winkler-type soil is exemplified. By using Eqn (6) and Eqn (1), the rotational spring constants of footing are calculated under the effect of axial force and bending moments. The results are tabulated in Table 1. The values of \( K_s \) illustrated in Table 1 are directly calculated by Eqns (7), (7a) and (7b) as well.

2.2. Rectangular footing subjected to axial force and bending moment

Rectangular rigid footing resting on tensionless Winkler-type soil and analysis model of SAP2000 are illustrated in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. Rotational spring constants of footing have been calculated under the effect of axial force and bending moments. The results can be seen in Table 2 by using the Eqns (11) and (1). The values of \( K_s \) illustrated in Table 2 are also directly determined by Eqns (13), (13a) and (13b). All the results are compared with those ones of SAP2000. It is seen that the results close to each other by dividing footing into smaller pieces in SAP2000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( e ) (m)</th>
<th>( M ) (kNm)</th>
<th>( N ) (kN)</th>
<th>( x ) (m)</th>
<th>( \sigma_1 ) (kN/m²)</th>
<th>( \sigma_2 ) (kN/m²)</th>
<th>( \theta ) (rad)</th>
<th>( K_s ) (kNm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3750</td>
<td>375.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>53.052</td>
<td>17.684</td>
<td>0.00029473</td>
<td>1272351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7500</td>
<td>750.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>70.735</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00058946</td>
<td>1272351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9375</td>
<td>937.5</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>5.371</td>
<td>80.013</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00074486</td>
<td>1258630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1250</td>
<td>1125.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>4.794</td>
<td>91.523</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00095465</td>
<td>1178442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3125</td>
<td>1312.5</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>4.242</td>
<td>106.272</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00125274</td>
<td>1047701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5000</td>
<td>1500.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>3.713</td>
<td>125.708</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00169304</td>
<td>885983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6875</td>
<td>1687.5</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>3.204</td>
<td>152.242</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00237593</td>
<td>710248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8750</td>
<td>1875.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>2.713</td>
<td>190.153</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00350447</td>
<td>535031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0625</td>
<td>2062.5</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>2.237</td>
<td>247.736</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00553607</td>
<td>372557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2500</td>
<td>2250.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>343.111</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00966860</td>
<td>232755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4375</td>
<td>2437.5</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>1.322</td>
<td>523.464</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01979678</td>
<td>123126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6250</td>
<td>2625.0</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>952.866</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.05431610</td>
<td>48328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Rotational spring constants of rectangular footing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(e) (m)</th>
<th>(M) (kNm)</th>
<th>(N) (kN)</th>
<th>(\theta) (rad)</th>
<th>(K_r) (kNm)</th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>(n = 20)</th>
<th>(n = 50)</th>
<th>(n = 500)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>833.33</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>714.28</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>625.00</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>555.56</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>454.55</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>416.67</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>384.62</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>357.14</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>333.33</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>312.50</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>294.12</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>277.78</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>263.16</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>265957</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
<td>267380</td>
<td>0.00188</td>
<td>267380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(n\) represents the number of dividing members.

---

2.3. Rigid rectangular footing subjected to axial force and biaxial bending

Rotational spring constants of rectangular rigid footing (Fig. 11) resting on tensionless Winkler-type soil have been achieved under the external forces corresponding to five different zone (Fig. 4) seen in Table 3.

### Table 3. The forces and bending moments on rectangular footing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>(N) (kN)</th>
<th>(M_x) (kNm)</th>
<th>(M_y) (kNm)</th>
<th>(e_x/h)</th>
<th>(e_y/b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The rotational spring constants of footing are obtained in detail by the simplified formulations presented in this study, then the findings are verified with SAP2000. The footing has been meshed into 12×8 square elements in the analysis with SAP2000. The results are tabulated and compared with the ones handled with SAP2000 in Table 4. The results confirm the suitability and efficiency of the method.

2.4. Calculation of rotational spring constants of rigid rectangular footing

Case I: \( N = 1000 \text{ kN}, \; M_x = 250 \text{ kNm}, \; M_y = 500 \text{ kNm} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{M_y}{N} = \frac{500}{1000} = 0.50 \text{ m}, \\
\varepsilon_y &= \frac{M_x}{N} = \frac{250}{1000} = 0.25 \text{ m}; \\
\xi &= \frac{\varepsilon_x}{h} = \frac{0.5}{6.0} = 0.0833, \\
\eta &= \frac{\varepsilon_y}{b} = \frac{0.25}{4.0} = 0.0625;
\end{align*}
\]

\( \xi = 0.0833 \leq 1/6 \) and \( \eta = 0.0625 \leq 1/6 \) \( \rightarrow \) Zone I;

\( K_{rx} = 20000 \frac{4.6^3}{12} = 1440 \text{ kNm} \).

Case II: \( N = 1000 \text{ kN}, \; M_x = 1200 \text{ kNm}, \; M_y = 1800 \text{ kNm} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{M_y}{N} = \frac{1800}{1000} = 1.80 \text{ m}, \\
\varepsilon_y &= \frac{M_x}{N} = \frac{1200}{1000} = 1.20 \text{ m}; \\
\xi &= \frac{\varepsilon_x}{h} = \frac{1.8}{6.0} = 0.30, \\
\eta &= \frac{\varepsilon_y}{b} = \frac{1.20}{4.0} = 0.30;
\end{align*}
\]

\( \xi = 0.30 \geq 0.25 \) and \( \eta = 0.30 \geq 0.25 \) \( \rightarrow \) Zone II.

By using Eqns (18), (19), and (20) \( \theta_x \) and \( \theta_y \) are calculated:

\[
\begin{align*}
l_x &= 2 \times 600 (1 - 2 \times 0.30) = 4.80 \text{ m}; \\
l_y &= 2 \times 400 (1 - 2 \times 0.30) = 3.20 \text{ m}; \\
\sigma_x &= \sigma_{\text{max}} = \frac{6 \times 1000}{3.20 \times 4.80} = 390.625 \text{ kN/m}^2; \\
\theta_x &= \frac{390.625}{20000 \times 3.20} = 0.006104 \text{ rad}; \\
\theta_y &= \frac{390.625}{20000 \times 4.80} = 0.004069 \text{ rad}, \text{ then } K_{rx} \text{ and } K_{ry} \text{ are obtained via Eqn (17):}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{rx} &= 1200 \times 0.006104 = 196592 \text{ kNm}; \\
K_{ry} &= 1800 \times 0.004069 = 442369 \text{ kNm}.
\end{align*}
\]

Case III: \( N = 1000 \text{ kN}, \; M_x = 1200 \text{ kNm}, \; M_y = 1200 \text{ kNm} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{M_y}{N} = \frac{1200}{1000} = 1.20 \text{ m}, \\
\varepsilon_y &= \frac{M_x}{N} = \frac{1200}{1000} = 1.20 \text{ m}; \\
\xi &= \frac{\varepsilon_x}{h} = \frac{1.20}{6.0} = 0.20, \\
\eta &= \frac{\varepsilon_y}{b} = \frac{1.20}{4.0} = 0.30.
\end{align*}
\]

Table 4. The rotational spring constants of rectangular footing under axial force and biaxial bending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>( \sigma_{\text{max}} ) (kN/m²)</th>
<th>( \theta_x ) (rad)</th>
<th>( \theta_y ) (rad)</th>
<th>( K_{rx} ) (kNm)</th>
<th>( K_{ry} ) (kNm)</th>
<th>( \theta_x ) (rad)</th>
<th>( \theta_y ) (rad)</th>
<th>( K_{rx} ) (kNm)</th>
<th>( K_{ry} ) (kNm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>78.125</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>640000</td>
<td>1440000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>640000</td>
<td>1440000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>390.625</td>
<td>0.00610</td>
<td>0.004069</td>
<td>196592</td>
<td>442369</td>
<td>0.00599</td>
<td>0.00403</td>
<td>200334</td>
<td>446650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>259.429</td>
<td>0.00407</td>
<td>0.001776</td>
<td>294623</td>
<td>675676</td>
<td>0.00400</td>
<td>0.00176</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>681818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>259.429</td>
<td>0.00266</td>
<td>0.002715</td>
<td>300300</td>
<td>662983</td>
<td>0.00264</td>
<td>0.00270</td>
<td>303030</td>
<td>666667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>172.387</td>
<td>0.00178</td>
<td>0.001187</td>
<td>449438</td>
<td>1010952</td>
<td>0.00176</td>
<td>0.00118</td>
<td>454545</td>
<td>1016949</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ \xi = \frac{e_x}{h} = 0.20 \quad \eta = \frac{e_y}{b} = 0.30 \]

\[ \xi = 0.20 < 0.25 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = 0.30 \geq 0.25 + \frac{16}{3}(0.20 - 0.25)^3 = 0.2493 \rightarrow \text{Zone III} \]

\[ \theta_x \text{ and } \theta_y \text{ are calculated by using Eqns (21), (22), (23) and (24)}: \]
\[ k_1 = \frac{-2 \cdot 0.20}{1 + 4 \cdot 0.20} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - 12 \cdot 0.20^2}}{1 + 4 \cdot 0.20} = 0.1784; \]
\[ h_1 = \frac{6.00}{1 - 0.1784} = 7.3028 \text{ m}; \]
\[ b_1 = \frac{(1 - 2 \cdot 0.30)}{1 + 4 \cdot 0.20} \cdot \frac{1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2 + 0.1784^3}{1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2 + 0.1784^3} = 3.185 \text{ m}; \]
\[ \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\max} = \frac{\frac{3}{1 - 2 \cdot 0.30} \cdot \frac{1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2 + 0.1784^3}{(1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2)^2}}{1000 \cdot \frac{4.00}{6.00} \cdot 259.429 \text{ kN/m}^2}; \]
\[ \theta_x = \frac{259.429 \cdot 7.3028}{20000 \cdot 3.185} + 1.0784 = 0 \text{ rad}; \]
\[ \theta_y = \frac{259.429}{20000 \cdot 7.3028} = 0.001776 \text{ m}, \]

then \( K_{rx} \) and \( K_{ry} \) are obtained Eqn (17):
\[ K_{rx} = \frac{1200}{0.004073} = 294623 \text{ kNm}; \]
\[ K_{ry} = \frac{1200}{0.001776} = 675676 \text{ kNm}. \]

**Case IV:** \( N = 1000 \text{ kN}, \ M_x = 800 \text{ kNm}, \ M_y = 1800 \text{ kNm}. \)
\[ e_x = \frac{M_y}{N} = 1.20 \text{ m}, \ e_y = \frac{M_x}{N} = 0.80 \text{ m}; \]
\[ \xi = \frac{e_x}{h} = 0.20, \quad \eta = \frac{e_y}{b} = 0.30 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = 0.20 < 0.25 \rightarrow \text{Zone IV}. \]

By using Eqns (25), (26), (27) and (28) \( \theta_x \) and \( \theta_y \) are calculated:
\[ k_2 = \frac{-2 \cdot 0.20}{1 + 4 \cdot 0.20} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - 12 \cdot 0.20^2}}{1 + 4 \cdot 0.20} = 0.1784; \]
\[ h_1 = (1 - 2 \cdot 0.30) \cdot \frac{1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2}{1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2 + 0.1784^3} \cdot \frac{1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2 + 0.1784^3}{2 \cdot 6.00} = 4.7776 \text{ m}; \]
\[ b_1 = \frac{4.00}{1 - 0.1784} = 4.8685 \text{ m}; \]
\[ \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\max} = \frac{3}{1 - 2 \cdot 0.30} \cdot \frac{1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2 + 0.1784^3}{(1 + 0.1784 + 0.1784^2)^2} \cdot \frac{1000}{4.00 \cdot 6.00} = 259.428 \text{ kN/m}^2; \]
\[ \theta_x = \frac{259.428}{20000 \cdot 4.8685} = 0.002664 \text{ rad}; \]
\[ \theta_y = \frac{259.428}{20000 \cdot 4.8685} + 1.0784 = 0 \text{ rad}; \]

then using Eqn (17), \( K_{rx} \) and \( K_{ry} \) are calculated:
\[ K_{rx} = \frac{800}{0.002664} = 300300 \text{ kNm}; \]
\[ K_{ry} = \frac{1800}{0.002715} = 662973 \text{ kNm}. \]

**Case V:** \( N = 1000 \text{ kN}, \ M_x = 800 \text{ kNm}, \ M_y = 1200 \text{ kNm}. \)
\[ e_x = \frac{M_y}{N} = 1.20 \text{ m}, \ e_y = \frac{M_x}{N} = 0.80 \text{ m}; \]
\[ \xi = \frac{e_x}{h} = 0.20, \quad \eta = \frac{e_y}{b} = 0.30 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = 0.20 < 0.25 \rightarrow \text{Zone IV}. \]

By using Eqns (29a) and (29b):
\[ (1 - k_1)^3 (1 + k_1) - 0.8 \cdot (1 - k_1^3)(1 - k_1) - 1.2(1 - k_1)k_2^2 + k_2^4 = 0; \]
\[ (1 - k_2)^3 (1 + k_2) - 0.8 \cdot (1 - k_2^3)(1 - k_2) - 1.2(1 - k_2)k_3^2 + k_3^4 = 0. \]

Since \( \xi \) is equal to \( \eta \), \( k_2 \) is replaced with \( k_1 \) in the first equation then minimum root of fourth order equation is found as:
\[ k_2 = k_1 = 0.173996 \pm 0.174; \]
\[ \theta_x \text{ and } \theta_y \text{ are calculated by using Eqns (30), (31) and (32)}: \]
\[ h_1 = \frac{6.00}{1 - 0.174} = 7.264 \, \text{m}, \quad h_2 = \frac{4.00}{1 - 0.174} = 4.843 \, \text{m}; \]

\[ b_1 = 0.174 \times 4.843 = 0.843 \, \text{m}; \]

\[ \sigma_1 = \sigma_{\text{max}} = \frac{6 \times 1000 (1 - 0.174) (1 - 0.174)}{4.00 \times 6.00 (1 - 0.174^2 - 174^2)} = 172.385 \, \text{kN/m}^2; \]

\[ \theta_x = \frac{172.385 \times 7.264 \times (1 + 0.174)}{20000 \times 4.843 \times 2 \times 7.265 - 6.00} = 0.00178 \, \text{rad}; \]

\[ \theta_y = \frac{172.385}{2 \times 20000 \times 6.00 \times 0.843} \{ (1 + 0.174) \times 0.843 - 0.174 \times (2 \times 0.843 - 4.00) \} = 0.001187 \, \text{rad}; \]

then \( K_{rx} \) and \( K_{ry} \) are obtained by Eqn (17).

\[ K_{rx} = \frac{800}{0.00178} = 449 \, \text{438 kNm}; \]

\[ K_{ry} = \frac{1200}{0.001187} = 1 \, 010 \, 952 \, \text{kNm}. \]

**Conclusions**

In this study, the simplified formulations to consider the soil-structure interaction in rigid spread footings are proposed especially for the large-span and low-rise structures. The following results can be drawn from this study:

- Soil-structure interaction can be achieved by these formulations enabling manual calculations. The formulations under consideration especially address a non-linear contact problem of footing subjected to excessive eccentric loading. This approach will provide a fast and reliable tool to the engineers in practice.

- Rotational spring constant by multiplying the moment of inertia of footing with subgrade reaction of soil is not valid by considering uplift case. The proposed formulations were developed to overcome this difficulty.

- It should be mentioned that the exact results via SAP2000 necessitate many springs and time consuming computational efforts via iterative procedure. Whereas the proposed formulations represent a fast and straightforward approach.

- Time consuming iteration steps are not necessary by presented formulations. The formulations under consideration coincide with the results of SAP2000 exactly that a large number of tensionless springs are utilized.

**Notation**

- \( h \) – Dimension of rectangular spread footing in bending direction;
- \( b \) – Dimension of rectangular spread footing perpendicular to \( h \);
- \( K_r \) – Rotational spring constant \( K_r \);
- \( K_{rx} \) – Rotational spring constant around the \( x \) axis;
- \( K_{ry} \) – Rotational spring constant around the \( y \) axis;
- \( K_c \) – The coefficient of subgrade reaction of soil;
- \( R \) – Diameter of circular footing;
- \( x_0, y_0 \) – Compression zone lengths of footing on principal \( x \) and \( y \) axis;
- \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) – Maximum soil pressure;
- \( \theta \) – The rotation of rigid spread footing;
- \( \theta_x \) – The rotation of rigid spread footing around \( x \) axis;
- \( \theta_y \) – The rotation of rigid spread footing around \( y \) axis;
- \( \mu \) – is a coefficient corresponding to the variation of the eccentricity.
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