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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove the existence of two intervals of positive real parameters $\lambda$ for a Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the $p$-Laplacian which admit three weak solutions, whose norms are uniformly bounded with respect to $\lambda$ belonging to one of the two intervals. Our main tool is a three critical points theorem due to G. Bonanno [A critical points theorem and nonlinear differential problems, J. Global Optim., 28:249-258, 2004].
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## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of two intervals of positive real parameters $\lambda$ for which the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{p} u+\lambda f(x, u)=0 & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $p$-Laplacian operator, $\Omega \subset R^{N}(N \geqslant 1)$ is a non-empty bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, p>N, \lambda$ is a positive parameter and $f: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ is an $L^{1}$ - Carathéodory function,

[^0]admits three weak solutions, whose norms are uniformly bounded in respect to $\lambda$ belonging to one of the two intervals.

We recall that a function $f: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ is said to be $L^{1}$-Carathéodory if $\left(\delta_{1}\right) x \rightarrow f(x, t)$ is measurable for every $t \in R$;
$\left(\delta_{2}\right) t \rightarrow f(x, t)$ is continuous for almost every $x \in \Omega$;
$\left(\delta_{3}\right)$ for every $\varrho>0$ there exists a function $l_{\varrho} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\sup _{|t| \leqslant \varrho}|f(x, t)| \leqslant l_{\varrho}(x)
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$.
We say that $u$ is a weak solution to the problem (1.1) if $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x=0
$$

for every $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
In recent years, many publications $[1,7,8,9,10,11,12,14]$ have appeared about elliptic problems with Dirichlet boundary conditions which have been used in a great variety of application. For example, Ramaswamy and Shivaji in [14] established the existence of three positive solutions for classes of nondecreasing, $p$-sublinear functions $f$ belonging to $C^{1}([0, \infty))$ for a $p$-Laplacian version of [3], i.e., the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda f(u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $p>1, \lambda>0$ is a parameter and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $R^{N} ; N \geq 2$ with $\partial \Omega$ of class $C^{2}$ and connected. Uniqueness of positive solutions to the problem (1.2) when $p>1$ and $f(u) / u^{p-1}$ is decreasing on $(0,+\infty)$ was obtained in Guo and Webb [11] and Drabek and Hernandez [9]. A natural question is that, whether uniqueness holds under the weaker condition than $f(u) / u^{p-1}$ is decreasing for large $u$. When $\Omega$ is a ball, Hai and Shivaji [12] showed that the answer is affirmative. However, the approach used in [12] depends on ordinary differential equations techniques and cannot be applied to the case of a general domain. In [7], Ricceri's three critical points theorem [15] has been successfully used to obtain existence of at least three weak solutions to the problem (1.1) in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. In [1], based on Ricceri's three critical points theorem [15] we obtained the existence of an interval $\Lambda \subseteq[0,+\infty[$ and a positive real number $q$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{p} u+\lambda f(x, u)=a(x)|u|^{p-2} u & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset R^{N}(N \geqslant 2)$ is non-empty bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, p>N, \lambda>0, f: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function and positive weight function $a(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, admits at least three weak solutions whose norms in
$W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ are less than $q$ that we extended the main result of [4] by using of the results of [7] to the general case. In [8], the authors employing Ricceri's three critical points theorem [16] obtained multiple weak solutions for the following BVP

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda f(x, u)+\mu g(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ is a non-empty bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, $p>N, f, g: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ are two Carathéodory functions and $\lambda, \mu$ are two positive parameters.

Bonanno in [6] established the existence of two intervals of positive real parameters $\lambda$ for which the functional $\Phi+\lambda \Psi$ has three critical points, whose norms are uniformly bounded with respect to $\lambda$ belonging to one of the two intervals. He illustrated the result for a two point boundary value problem, and here we are interested to illustrate this result to the problem (1.1). Our main result is Theorem 1 that ensures the existence of two intervals $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}^{\prime} \cup \Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$, the problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions whose norms are uniformly bounded with respect to $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$. The technique used in our proof has been introduced in [7].

As an immediate consequences of Theorem 1, we obtain Corollary 1, in which the function $f$ has separated variables. The applicability of the result is illustrated by Example 1. Finally, we present the application of Theorem 1 in the ordinary case with $p=2$, that Example 2 illustrates the result.

## 2 Main Results

First we recall for the reader's convenience Theorem 3.1 of [6] (see also [2, 5, $13,15,16]$ for related results) to transfer the existence of three solutions of the problem (1.1) into the existence of critical points of the Euler functional:

Theorem A ([6, Theorem 3.1]) Let $X$ be a separable and reflexive real $B a$ nach space $; \Phi: X \longrightarrow R$ a nonnegative continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*} ; J: X \longrightarrow R$ a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. Assume that there exists $x_{0} \in X$ such that $\Phi\left(x_{0}\right)=J\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and that
(i) $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty}(\Phi(x)-\lambda J(x))=+\infty$ for all $\lambda \in[0,+\infty[$.

Further, assume that there are $r>0, x_{1} \in X$ such that:
(ii) $r<\Phi\left(x_{1}\right)$,

Then, for each
the equation $\Phi^{\prime}(u)-\lambda J^{\prime}(u)=0$ has at least three solutions in $X$ and, moreover, for each $h>1$, there exist an open interval

$$
\Lambda_{2} \subseteq\left[0, h r /\left(r J\left(x_{1}\right) / \Phi\left(x_{1}\right)-\sup _{x \in \bar{\Phi}^{-1}\left(-\infty, r[)^{w}\right.} J(x)\right)\right]
$$

and a positive real number $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2}$, the equation given above has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Here and in the sequel, X will denote the Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Put $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, \xi) d \xi$ for each $(x, t) \in \Omega \times R$, and

$$
c=\sup _{u \in X \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}|u(x)|}{\|u\|} .
$$

Since $p>N, X$ is compactly embedded in $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$, one has $c<+\infty$. In addition, it is known [18, formula (6b)] that

$$
c \leqslant \frac{N^{-1 / p}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)\right]^{1 / N}\left(\frac{p-1}{p-N}\right)^{1-1 / p}[m(\Omega)]^{1 / N-1 / p},
$$

where $\Gamma$ denotes the Gamma function and $m(\Omega)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set $\Omega$, and equality occurs when $\Omega$ is a ball.

Now, fix $x^{0} \in \Omega$ and pick $r_{1}, r_{2}$ with $0<r_{1}<r_{2}$ such that

$$
S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right) \subset S\left(x^{0}, r_{2}\right) \subseteq \Omega
$$

where $S\left(x^{0}, r_{i}\right)$ denotes the ball with center at $x^{0}$ and radius of $r_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=k_{1}\left(N, p, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\frac{c}{r_{2}-r_{1}}\left(\left(r_{2}^{N}-r_{1}^{N}\right) \frac{\pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(1+N / 2)}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We formulate our main result as follows:
Theorem 1. Let $f: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ be an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function, and denote $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, \xi) d \xi$ for each $(x, t) \in \Omega \times R$. Assume that there exist three positive constants $\theta, \tau$ and $\gamma$ with $k_{1} \tau>\theta, \gamma<p$ and a function $\mu \in L^{1}(\Omega)_{+}$ such that
$\left(\alpha_{1}\right) F(x, t) \geqslant 0$ for each $(x, t) \in\left(\Omega \backslash S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)\right) \times[0, \tau]$,
$\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x<\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{k_{1} \tau}\right)^{p} \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x$,
$\left(\alpha_{3}\right) F(x, t) \leqslant \mu(x)\left(1+|t|^{\gamma}\right)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for all $t \in R$,
where $k_{1}$ is given in (2.1). Then, for each

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}^{\prime}= & ] \frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{k_{1} \tau}{c}\right)^{p}}{\int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}, \\
& \frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}[,
\end{aligned}
$$

the problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions in $X$ and, moreover, for each $h>1$, there exist an open interval

$$
\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq\left[0, \frac{\frac{h}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\left(\frac{\theta}{k_{1} \tau}\right)^{p} \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}\right]
$$

and a positive real number $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$, the problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Proof. In order to apply Theorem A, we begin by setting

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{\|u\|^{p}}{p}, \quad J(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x
$$

for each $u \in X$. It is well known that $J$ is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative at the point $u \in X$ is the functional $J^{\prime}(u) \in X^{*}$, given by

$$
J^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x
$$

for every $v \in X$. We claim that $J^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is a compact operator. To this end, it is enough to show that $J^{\prime}$ is strongly continuous on $X$. For this, for fixed $u \in X$ let $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $X$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, then we have $u_{n}$ converges uniformly to $u$ on $\Omega$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ (see [17]). Since $F(x, \cdot)$ is $C^{1}$ in $R$ for every $x \in \Omega$, so it is continuous in $R$ for every $x \in \Omega$, and we get that $F\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow F(x, u)$ strongly as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ which follows $J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J^{\prime}(u)$ strongly as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Thus we proved that $J^{\prime}$ is strongly continuous on $X$, which implies that $J^{\prime}$ is a compact operator by Proposition 26.2 of [19]. Hence the claim is true.

Moreover, the functional $\Phi$ is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable whose Gâteaux derivative at the point $u \in X$ is the functional $\Phi^{\prime}(u) \in X^{*}$, given by

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) d x .
$$

$\Phi^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$. Indeed, owing to (2.2) of [17], for every $u, v \in X$ there exists a positive constant $c_{p}$ such that

$$
\left.\left.\langle | \nabla u(x)\right|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)-|\nabla v(x)|^{p-2} \nabla v(x), \nabla u(x)-\nabla v(x)\right\rangle \geqslant c_{p}|\nabla u(x)-\nabla v(x)|^{p}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in $R$. So, we have

$$
\left(\Phi^{\prime}(u)-\Phi^{\prime}(v)\right)(u-v) \geqslant c_{p}\|u-v\|^{p}
$$

for every $u, v \in X$, namely $\Phi^{\prime}$ is an uniformly monotone operator in $X$, and since $\Phi$ is coercive and hemicontinuous in $X$, by applying Theorem 26.A. [19], we have that $\Phi^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$. Using again that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is monotone, we obtain that $\Phi$ is sequentially weakly lower semi continuous (see [19, Proposition 25.20]).

Thanks to $\left(\alpha_{3}\right)$, for each $\lambda>0$ one has that

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty}(\Phi(u)-\lambda J(u))=+\infty .
$$

Now, set

$$
u^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x \in \Omega \backslash S\left(x^{0}, r_{2}\right) \\ \frac{\tau}{r_{2}-r_{1}}\left[r_{2}-\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right)^{2}}\right], & x \in S\left(x^{0}, r_{2}\right) \backslash S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right) \\ \tau, & x \in S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

and $r=\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}$. It is easy to see that $u^{*} \in X$ and, in particular, one has

$$
\Phi\left(u^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{p}\left(r_{2}^{N}-r_{1}^{N}\right) \frac{\pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(1+N / 2)}\left(\frac{\tau}{r_{2}-r_{1}}\right)^{p} .
$$

So, since $k_{1} \tau>\theta$, we have $\Phi\left(u^{*}\right)>r$. Moreover, since

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega}|u(x)| \leqslant c\|u\|
$$

for each $u \in X$, one has

$$
\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)^{w}} J(u)=\sup _{\left.\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r\right]\right)} J(u) \leqslant \int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x
$$

and since $0 \leqslant u^{*}(x) \leqslant \tau$ for each $x \in \Omega$, the condition $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ ensures that

$$
\int_{\Omega \backslash S\left(x^{0}, r_{2}\right)} F\left(x, u^{*}(x)\right) d x+\int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{2}\right) \backslash S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F\left(x, u^{*}(x)\right) d x \geqslant 0 .
$$

Therefore, owing to our assumptions, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\frac{\sup }{\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r \mid\right)^{w}}} J(u) & =\sup _{\|u\|^{p} \leqslant p r} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x \\
& \leqslant \int_{\Omega|t| \leqslant \theta} \sup _{|t|} F(x, t) d x<\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{k_{1} \tau}\right)^{p} \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x \\
& \leqslant \frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}+\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{k_{1} \tau}{c}\right)^{p}} \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x \leqslant \frac{r}{r+\Phi\left(u^{*}\right)} J\left(u^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can apply Theorem A. Taking into account that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(u^{*}\right) /\left(J\left(u^{*}\right)-\sup _{x \in \bar{\Phi}^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)^{w}} J\left(u^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{k_{1} \tau}{c}\right)^{p}}{\int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{r}{\sup _{u \in \bar{\Phi}^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} w J(u)} \geqslant \frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x} ; \\
\frac{h r}{r \frac{J\left(u^{*}\right)}{\overline{\Phi\left(u^{*}\right)}-\sup _{u \in \bar{\Phi}^{-1}(-\infty, r[)}}{ }^{w} J(u)} \\
\leqslant \frac{\frac{h}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\left(\frac{\theta}{k_{1} \tau}\right)^{p} \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}=\rho ;
\end{gathered}
$$

and with $x_{0}=0, x_{1}=u^{*}$, and see $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq \Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2} \subseteq \Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$, and also taking into account that the weak solutions of the problem (1.1) are exactly the solutions of the equation

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)-\lambda J^{\prime}(u)=0,
$$

from Theorem A it follows that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}^{\prime}$, the problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions, and there exist an open interval $\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq[0, \rho]$ and a real positive number $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$, the problem (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions that whose norms in X are less than $\sigma$. Hence, we have the conclusion.

Remark 1. In Theorem 1,

$$
\frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{k_{1} \tau}{c}\right)^{p}}{\int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}<\frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x} .
$$

Because, from $\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
2\left(k_{1} \tau\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x<\theta^{p} \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x,
$$

and since $k_{1} \tau>\theta$, we get

$$
\left(\theta^{p}+\left(k_{1} \tau\right)^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x<\theta^{p} \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x
$$

and so

$$
\left(k_{1} \tau\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x<\theta^{p}\left(\int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x\right) .
$$

Hence, multiplying by $\frac{1}{p c^{p}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{k_{1} \tau}{c}\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x \\
& \quad<\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}\left(\int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which follows

$$
\frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{k_{1} \tau}{c}\right)^{p}}{\int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}<\frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}
$$

Remark 2. In applying Theorem 1, it is enough to know as explicit upper bound of the constant $c$. To be precise, we can use formula (2.1) as constant $c$ the righthand term of the formula in page 393, so that the constant $k_{1}$ in Theorem 1 is numerically well determined.

We now present a particular case of Theorem 1 , in which the function $f$ has separated variables.
Corollary 1. Let $f_{1} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and $f_{2} \in C(R)$ be two functions. Put $\widetilde{F}(t)=$ $\int_{0}^{t} f_{2}(\xi) d \xi$ for all $t \in R$, and assume that there exist four positive constants $\theta$, $\tau, \eta$ and $\gamma$ with $k_{1} \tau>\theta, \gamma<p$ such that $\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right) f_{1}(x) \geqslant 0$ for each $x \in \Omega \backslash S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)$ and $f_{2}(t) \geqslant 0$ for each $t \in[0, \tau]$, $\left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right) \max _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} \widetilde{F}(t)\left(\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x) d x\right)<\frac{\widetilde{F}(\tau)}{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{k_{1} \tau}\right)^{p} \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} f_{1}(x) d x$, $\left(\alpha_{3}^{\prime}\right)|\widetilde{F}(t)| \leqslant \eta\left(1+|t|^{\gamma}\right)$ for all $t \in R$,
where $k_{1}$ is given in (2.1). Then, for each

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}^{\prime}= & ] \frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{k_{1} \tau}{c}\right)^{p}}{\widetilde{F}(\tau) \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} f_{1}(x) d x-\max _{|t| \leqslant \theta} \widetilde{F}(t)\left(\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x) d x\right)}, \\
& \frac{\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\max _{|t| \leqslant \theta} \widetilde{F}(t)\left(\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x) d x\right)}[
\end{aligned}
$$

the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{p} u+\lambda f_{1}(x) f_{2}(u)=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.2}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

admits at least three weak solutions in $X$ and, moreover, for each $h>1$, there exists an open interval

$$
\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq\left[0, \frac{\frac{h}{p}\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^{p}}{\left(\frac{\theta}{k_{1} \tau}\right)^{p} \widetilde{F}(\tau) \int_{S\left(x^{0}, r_{1}\right)} f_{1}(x) d x-\max _{|t| \leqslant \theta} \widetilde{F}(t)\left(\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x) d x\right)}\right]
$$

and a positive real number $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$, the problem (2.2) admits at least three weak solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Proof. Set $f(x, u)=f_{1}(x) f_{2}(u)$ for each $(x, u) \in \Omega \times R$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t)=f_{1}(x) \widetilde{F}(t) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$, respectively. From (2.3) and ( $\alpha_{3}^{\prime}$ ) we have

$$
F(x, t) \leqslant\left|f_{1}(x) \widetilde{F}(t)\right| \leqslant \eta\left|f_{1}(x)\right|\left(1+|t|^{\gamma}\right)
$$

for each $(x, t) \in \Omega \times R$, so condition ( $\alpha_{3}$ ) follows with $\mu(x)=\eta\left|f_{1}(x)\right|$. Then, Theorem 1 yields the conclusion.

Example 1. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u| \nabla u)+\lambda\left(e^{-u} u^{10}(11-u)\right)=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.4}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega=\left\{(x, y) \in R^{2} ; x^{2}+y^{2}<9\right\}$. Taking into account $c=\sqrt[6]{36 / \pi^{2}}$, choosing $x^{0}=(0,0), r_{1}=1, r_{2}=2, f_{1}(x)=1$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and

$$
f_{2}(u)=e^{-u} u^{10}(11-u)
$$

for each $u \in R$, so that $k_{1}=\sqrt[6]{324}$, all the assumptions of Corollary 1, with $p=3$, are satisfied by choosing, for instance $\theta=1, \tau=3, \gamma=2$ and $\eta$ sufficiently large. So for each $\lambda \in] \frac{3 e}{3^{9} e^{-2}-1}, \frac{e}{162}[$, the problem (2.4) admits at least three non-trivial weak solutions in $W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)$ and, moreover, for each $h>1$, there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subseteq] 0, \frac{h e}{9\left(729 e^{-2}-18\right)}$ [ and a positive real number $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the problem (2.4) admits at least three weak solutions in $W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Finally, we want to point out a simple consequence of Theorem 1 in the ordinary case with $p=2$, and then we present an example of application.

For simplicity, we fix $\Omega=(a, b)$ for $a, b \in R$ and $x^{0} \in \Omega$. Taking into account that, in this situation, $c=\frac{(b-a)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}, k_{1}=\left(\frac{b-a}{2\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $k_{2}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{b-a}{r_{1}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have the following result:

Corollary 2. Let $f:[a, b] \times R \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function and put $F(x, t)=$ $\int_{0}^{t} f(x, \xi) d \xi$ for each $(x, t) \in[a, b] \times R$. Assume that there exist three positive constants $\theta, \tau$ and $\gamma$ with $\left(\frac{b-a}{2\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau>\theta, \gamma<2$ and a function $\mu \in L^{1}([a, b])_{+}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) F(x, t) \geqslant 0 \text { for each }(x, t) \in\left((a, b) \backslash\left(x^{0}-r_{1}, x^{0}+r_{1}\right)\right) \times[0, \tau] \\
& \left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x<\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{b-a}\left(\frac{\theta}{\tau}\right)^{2} \int_{x^{0}-r_{1}}^{x^{0}+r_{1}} F(x, \tau) d x \\
& \left(\alpha_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right) F(x, t) \leqslant \mu(x)\left(1+|t|^{\gamma}\right) \text { for almost every } x \in(a, b) \text { and for all } t \in R .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for each

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}^{\prime}= & ] \frac{\tau^{2} /\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)}{\int_{x^{0}-r_{1}}^{x^{0}+r_{1}} F(x, \tau) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}, \\
& \frac{2 \theta^{2}}{(b-a) \int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}[,
\end{aligned}
$$

the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda f(x, u)=0 \quad \text { in }(a, b),  \tag{2.5}\\
u(a)=u(b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

admits at least three weak solutions in $X$ and, moreover, for each $h>1$, there exists an open interval

$$
\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq\left[0, \frac{2 h \theta^{2}}{2\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\theta}{\tau}\right)^{2} \int_{x^{0}-r_{1}}^{x^{0}+r_{1}} F(x, \tau) d x-(b-a) \int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(x, t) d x}\right]
$$

and a positive real number $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{2}^{\prime}$, the problem (2.5) admits at least three classical solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Example 2. Put

$$
f(x, u)=e^{-(x+u)} u^{6}(7-u)
$$

for each $(x, u) \in(-3,3) \times R$, and choose $x^{0}=0, r_{1}=1, r_{2}=2$. It is easy to verify that with $\theta=1, \tau=3, \gamma=1$ and $\mu(x)$ for each $x \in(-3,3)$ sufficiently large, all the assumptions of Corollary 2, are satisfied. So for each $\lambda \in] \frac{9}{2187\left(e^{-2}-e^{-4}\right)+e^{-4}-e^{2}}, \frac{1}{3\left(e^{2}-e^{-4}\right)}$, the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda\left(e^{-(x+u)} u^{6}(7-u)\right)=0 \quad \text { in }(-3,3),  \tag{2.6}\\
u(-3)=u(3)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

admits at least three non-trivial classical solutions in $W_{0}^{1,2}([-3,3])$ and, moreover, for each $h>1$, there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subseteq] 0, \frac{h\left(e^{-2}-e^{-4}\right)-3\left(e^{2}-e^{-4}\right)}{32}[$ and a positive real number $\sigma$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the problem (2.6) admits at least three classical solutions in $W_{0}^{1,2}([-3,3])$ whose norms are less than $\sigma$.

Remark 3. The weak solutions of the problem (1.1) where $f$ is a continuous function, in the ordinary case with $\Omega=(a, b), a, b \in R$ and $p=2$, by using standard methods, belong to $C^{2}([a, b])$ and are classical solutions for the problem (1.1). Namely, in this case, the classical and the weak solutions of the problem (1.1) coincide.
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