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ABSTRACT

In this paper we study the convergence of explicit and implicit finite difference scheme for the first initial-boundary value problem for one dimensional quasilinear heat-conduction equation with "unbounded nonlinearity".

INTRODUCTION

In recent twenty years a great interest was devoted to the construction and analysis of finite difference schemes for approximation of boundary value problems with generalized solutions. In particular, finite difference schemes with convergence rate estimates consistent with the smoothness of data were of the major interest [7].

First results on the study of the convergence of discrete methods for problems with solution from Sobolev spaces were obtained in the theory of finite element methods. However, the methods of constructing difference schemes and obtaining consistent estimates differ from those applied in the finite element method.

For a wide variety of linear problems convergence rate estimates consistent
The accuracy of difference schemes for the heat equation

The accuracy of difference schemes for the heat equation are presented in [7; 6; 9]. However, as a rule, the most of actual problems are nonlinear, and the nature of the nonlinearities is diverse. For quasilinear elliptic equations with bounded nonlinearity, consistent convergence rate estimates have been obtained in [1; 3]. However, the requirements on coefficients of equations, such as positive definiteness, boundedness of partial derivatives over all values \( u \in \mathbb{R}^n \), narrows down the class of admissible input data of the problem.

The aim of this paper is to construct convergence rate estimates consistent with the smoothness of data for finite difference schemes approximating a nonlinear parabolic equation with generalized solution. Only minimal assumptions on the coefficients of equation are used.

1. Initial-Boundary Value Problem

In the rectangle \( Q_T = \{ (x, t) : x \in \Omega = (0, 1), 0 < t < T \} \) for some \( T > 0 \) we consider the initial-boundary value problem for quasilinear heat-conduction equation

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right), & \quad (x, t) \in Q_T, \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T.
\end{align*}
\]

Let us introduce the Sobolev space \( H^s(\Omega) \) of functions \( u = u(x) \), defined on \( \Omega \), and the anisotropic Sobolev space \( H^{s, r}(Q_T) \) of functions \( u = u(x, t) \), defined on \( Q_T \). Let \( H^s_0(\Omega) (H^{s, r}_0(Q_T)) \) denotes the closure of the set of infinitely smooth functions which are equal to 0 in the neighbourhood of \( x = 0 \) and \( x = 1 \) in the space \( H^s(\Omega) (H^{s, r}(Q_T)) \) [2].

**Definition 1.1.** The element \( u \) of the space \( H^{2, 1}(Q_T) \cap H^{1, 0}_0(Q_T) \), satisfying (1.1) almost everywhere and equal \( u_0(x) \) for \( t = 0 \) is called generalized solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2).

Let us define the region of the exact solution \( M_u \) and its neighbourhood \( D_u \):

\[
\begin{align*}
M_u = \{ u : u_1 \leq u(x, t) \leq u_2, (x, t) \in Q_T \}, \\
D_u = \{ \hat{u} : u_1 - \delta \leq \hat{u}(x, t) \leq u_2 + \delta, \quad (x, t) \in K \subseteq \overline{Q_T} \}.
\end{align*}
\]

We assume, that there exists the unique solution \( u(x, t) \) of the problem (1.1), (1.2) in \( H^{2, 1}(Q_T) \), \( u_0 \in H^1(0, 1) \), and \( k \in C(D_u) \), \( 0 < k_1 \leq k(v) \leq k_2 \) for \( v \in D_u \). Note that similar problems are considered in [10; 4].

Let us define the new function \( \varphi(u) = \int_0^u k(w)dw \) and transform the equation (1.1) in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(u)}{\partial x^2}, & \quad (x, t) \in Q_T.
\end{align*}
\]
2. EXPLICIT FINITE DIFFERENCE SCHEME

Let us introduce uniform meshes

$$\mathcal{W}_\tau = \{ t_j = j\tau, j = 0, \ldots, j_0\tau = T \}, \quad \mathcal{W}_h = \{ x_i = ih, i = 0, N, Nh = 1 \},$$

and set $$\omega_\tau = \mathcal{W}_\tau \cap [0, T), \omega_h = \mathcal{W}_h \cap (0, 1)$$ and $$\omega_{h\tau} = \omega_h \times \omega_\tau$$.

We define the discrete norm $$k/k$$ and the standard notation of the theory of difference schemes [5].

Let us introduce uniform meshes and we approximate the problem (1.5), (1.2) with the explicit finite difference scheme

$$y_t = (\varphi(y))_{xx}, \quad (x, t) \in \omega_{h\tau}, \quad y(x, 0) = S_{t}^2 u_0(x), \quad x \in \omega_h, \quad y(0, t) = y(1, t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{W}_\tau. \quad (2.1)$$

Let us consider the convergence of finite difference scheme (2.1), (2.2) in the discrete norm $$\| \|_{h\tau}$$. Let us denote $$\tilde{u} = S_{t}^2 u$$ supposing that the solution $$u(x, t)$$ of the problem (1.5), (1.2) is oddly extended outside $$Q_T$$, i.e.

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = \begin{cases} -u(-x, t), & x \in (-1, 0], \\ u(x, t), & x \in (0, 1), \\ -u(2-x, t), & x \in [1, 2). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see [8], that

$$\| \tilde{u} \|_{H^2:T}^2(Q_T) = 3\| u \|_{H^2:T}^2(Q_T), \quad \tilde{Q}_T = \{(x, t) : x \in (-1, 2), 0 < t < T \}.$$

Consequently, $$\tilde{u} \in H^{2,1}(\tilde{Q}_T)$$.

We define the error in the following manner $$z = y - \tilde{u}$$. This error satisfies the finite difference scheme:

$$z_t = (\varphi(\tilde{u} + z) - \varphi(\tilde{u}))_{xx} - \eta_{xx}, \quad (x, t) \in \omega_{h\tau}, \quad \eta = S_{t} \varphi(u) - \varphi(\tilde{u}), \quad (2.3)$$
Evidently, for the following estimate of the finite difference scheme (2.1), (2.2), which converges to the value \( z(t) \) holds. We will prove the same for \( x = 0 \) and \( x = 1 \). In the space \( H \) with inner product \((\cdot, \cdot)_h\) and norm \( \| \cdot \|_h \) we define the linear operator \( Av = -v_{xx} \).

It follows from \( \varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(u(0, t)) = \varphi(u(1, t)) = 0 \) and the definition of \( \eta \) that \( \eta(0, t) = \eta(1, t) = 0 \). Consequently, the problem (2.3), (2.4) can be represented in operator form

\[
z_t + A \kappa z = A\eta, \quad t \in \Omega, \quad z(0) = 0,
\]

where \( \varphi(u + z) - \varphi(u) = \int_\Omega k(w)dw = k(u + \theta z)z = \kappa z, \theta \in (0, 1) \).

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose there exists the unique solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2) in \( H^{2,1}(Q_T) \), \( k \in C(\Omega_u) \), \( 0 < k_1 \leq k(v) \leq k_2 \) for \( v \in \Omega_u \). Then, for sufficiently small \( h < h_0 \), \( \tau < \tau_0 \) and \( \tau \leq \frac{k_1}{16k_2^3}h^2 \) there exists the unique solution of the finite difference scheme (2.1), (2.2), which converges to the solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2) as \( h, \tau \to 0 \) and for every \( t \in \Omega \) the following estimate

\[
\|z\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq M \frac{h^2 + \tau}{h^3/2} \|k\|_{C(\Omega_u)} \|u\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_T)} \leq \delta
\]

holds.

In the sequel, \( M \) denotes a positive generic constant, independent of \( h \) and \( \tau \).

**Proof** We shall prove the assertion by means of mathematical induction. Evidently, for \( t = 0 \) the estimate (2.6) holds. Suppose that for all \( t' = 0, \tau, \ldots, t \) the solution \( z(x, t') \) of finite difference scheme (2.1), (2.2) exists and estimate (2.6) holds. We will prove the same for \( t' = t + \tau \).

Firstly, we show that \( \bar{u} + \theta z \in \Omega_u \). Obviously,

\[
\bar{u} - u_1 = \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} \left( 1 - \frac{|x' - x|}{h} \right) (u(x', t) - u_1)dx' \geq 0,
\]

\[
\bar{u} - u_2 = \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} \left( 1 - \frac{|x' - x|}{h} \right) (u(x', t) - u_2)dx' \leq 0.
\]

Consequently \( \bar{u} \in \Omega_u \). Since \( \|z(\cdot, t)\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq \delta \), it follows that \( \bar{u} + \theta z \in \Omega_u \), and the value \( \kappa = k(\bar{u} + \theta z) \) is defined and \( 0 < k_1 \leq \kappa \leq k_2 \). In such a manner the values \( z \) (and \( y \)) for \( t' = t + \tau \), are defined.
We estimate \( \|z(., t + \tau)\|_{C(\omega_h)} \) by the energy method. Multiplying (2.5) in a scalar way with \( 2\tau A^{-1}z \), we obtain

\[
\|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} - \|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} - \tau^2 \|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} + 2\tau (\kappa z, z) = 2\tau(\eta, z).
\]

Substituting \( z_t \) from equation (2.5), we obtain

\[
\|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} - \|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} + 2\tau (\kappa z, z) = \tau^2 \|\kappa z + \eta\|^2_A + 2\tau(\eta, z). \tag{2.7}
\]

Using inequalities

\[
\|\kappa z + \eta\|^2_A \leq 2\|\kappa z\|^2_A + 2\|\eta\|^2_A \leq \frac{8k_0^2}{A^2} \|z\|^2_h + \frac{8}{A^2} \|\eta\|^2_h,
\]

\[
2\tau(\eta, z) \leq k_1 \tau \|z\|^2_h + \frac{1}{k_1} \|\eta\|^2_h, \quad 2\tau(\kappa z, z) \geq 2\tau k_1 \|z\|^2_h,
\]

we obtain

\[
\|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} - \|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} + \tau \left( k_1 - \frac{8\tau k_0^2}{h^2} \right) \|z\|^2_h \leq \tau \left( \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{8\tau}{h^2} \right) \|\eta\|^2_h. \tag{2.8}
\]

Omitting positive the term with \( \|z\|^2_h \) in the left side of (2.8), we obtain

\[
\|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} \leq \|z\|^2_{A^{-1}} + \tau \left( \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{8\tau}{h^2} \right) \|\eta\|^2_h \leq \left( \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{k_1}{2h^2} \right) \sum_{\ell=0}^{t} \tau \|\eta(\cdot, \ell')\|^2_h. \tag{2.9}
\]

Using representation

\[
\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 = (S_t \varphi(u) - S_t \varphi(\bar{u})) + (S_t \varphi(\bar{u}) - \varphi(\bar{u}))
\]

and inequalities \( \|v\|_{A^{-1}} \geq 0.5h \|v\|_h \) and \( \|v\|_{C(\omega_h)} \leq h^{-1/2} \|v\|_h \), from (2.9) we obtain

\[
\|z\|^2_{C(\omega_h)} \leq \frac{4}{h^3} \left( \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{k_1}{2h^2} \right) \sum_{\ell=0}^{t} \tau \|\eta_1(\cdot, \ell')\|^2_h + \|\eta_2(\cdot, \ell')\|^2_h. \tag{2.10}
\]

Further

\[
\eta_1(x, t') = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t'}^{t' + \tau} \left[ \varphi(u(x, t'')) - \varphi(\bar{u}(x, t'')) \right] dt'' = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t'}^{t' + \tau} \int_{\alpha(x, t'')} \varphi'(w) dw dt'' = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t'}^{t' + \tau} \int_{\alpha(x, t'')} \varphi'(w) dw dt''.
\]
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From here it follows

\[ |\eta_1(x, t')| \leq \frac{1}{\tau} k_{C(\Omega_\omega)} \int_{t'}^{t'+\tau} |u(x, t'') - \bar{u}(x, t'')| dt'' \]

\[ = \frac{1}{h \tau} k_{C(\Omega_\omega)} \int_{t'}^{t'+\tau} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} (1 - \frac{|x' - x|}{h}) [u(x, t'') - u(x', t'')] dx' dt'' \]

\[ = \frac{1}{h \tau} k_{C(\Omega_\omega)} \int_{t'}^{t'+\tau} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} (1 - \frac{|x' - x|}{h}) \]

\[ \times \int_x^{x''} \frac{\partial^2 u(x''', t'')}{\partial x'^2} dx''' dx'' dx' dt'', \]

and

\[ |\eta_1(x, t')| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{h \tau}} k_{C(\Omega_\omega)} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x'^2} \right\|_{L_2(\omega)} , \tag{2.11} \]

where \( e = (x - h, x + h) \times (t', t' + \tau) \). In an analogous manner we obtain

\[ |\eta_2(x, t')| \leq \frac{M \tau}{\sqrt{h \tau}} k_{C(\Omega_\omega)} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L_2(\omega)} . \tag{2.12} \]

It follows from (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) that

\[ ||z(\cdot, t + \tau)||_{C(\omega_h)} = ||\tilde{z}||_{C(\omega_h)} \leq \frac{M h^2 + \tau}{k^{3/2}} \frac{\tau}{h} k_{C(\Omega_\omega)} ||u||_{H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)}. \]

Choosing sufficiently small \( h \) and \( \tau \) we finally obtain \( ||z(\cdot, t + \tau)||_{C(\omega_h)} \leq \delta. \)

**Theorem 2.2.** Let the assumptions of theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then the following estimate

\[ ||y - \bar{u}||_{h \tau} \leq M(h^2 + \tau) k_{C(\Omega_\omega)} ||u||_{H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)} \tag{2.13} \]

holds.

**Proof** From (2.8), summing over the mesh \( \omega_\tau \) and using inequality \( k_1 - 8\tau k_2^2 / h^2 \geq k_1 \), we obtain

\[ ||z(\cdot, T)||_{A^{-1}} + \frac{k_1}{2} T \tau \sum_{i=0}^{T-\tau} ||z||_{h_i}^2 \leq \tau \left( \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{k_1}{2k_2} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{T-\tau} ||\eta||_{h_i}^2. \tag{2.14} \]
Applying to (2.14) estimates (2.11) and (2.12) and omitting the term 
\[ |z(\cdot, T)|^2_{1^{-}} \], we obtain (2.13).

Since the solution \( u \) is a continuous function it is interesting to estimate the difference \( y-u \).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let the assumptions of theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then the following estimate holds

\[
\|y-u\|_{H^\tau} \leq M(h^2 + \tau) \left( \|k\|_{C(D_u)} + 1 \right) \|u\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)}. \tag{2.15}
\]

**3. IMPLICIT FINITE DIFFERENCE SCHEME**

We assume, that there exists a unique solution \( u(x, t) \) of the problem (1.1), (1.2) in \( H^{3.5}(Q_\tau) \) and \( u_0 \in H^2(0, 1) \), \( k \in C(D_u) \), \( 0 < k_1 \leq k(v) \leq k_2 \) for \( v \in D_u \).

We approximate the problem (1.5), (1.2) with implicit finite difference scheme

\[
y_t = (a(y)\gamma_x)_x, \quad (x, t) \in \omega_{h\tau}, \tag{3.1}
\]

\[
y(0, t) = y(1, t) = 0, \ t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad y(x, 0) = S_xu_0(x), \ x \in \omega_h, \tag{3.2}
\]

where \( a(y(x)) = k((0.5y(x) + y(x-h))) \).

Let us consider the convergence of finite difference scheme (3.1), (3.2). Having the solution \( u(x, t) \) of the problem (1.5), (1.2) oddly extended outside of \( Q_\tau \), suppose that \( \bar{u} = S_x^2u \). The following identity takes place

\[
\bar{u}_t = S_t \left( k(u(x-0.5h, t))\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x-0.5h, t) \right)_x. \tag{3.3}
\]

Using the identity (3.3), we can write a problem for \( z = y - \bar{u} \) in the form

\[
z_t = (a(y)\gamma_x)_x + ((a(y) - a(\bar{u})) \bar{u}_x)_x + \eta_x(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \omega_{h\tau}, \tag{3.4}
\]

\[
z(0, t) = z(1, t) = 0, \ t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad z(x, 0) = 0, \ x \in \omega_h, \tag{3.5}
\]

where

\[
\eta(x, t) = (a(\bar{u})\bar{u}_x)(x, t) - S_t k(u(x-0.5h, t))\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x-0.5h, t).
\]

For our convenience, let us represent \( \eta(x, t) \) as below

\[
\eta(x, t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int \limits_0^{t+\tau} \left\{ [a(\bar{u}(x, t')) - k(u(x-0.5h, t'))] \bar{u}_x(x, t+\tau) + k(u(x-0.5h, t')) \right\} dt'.
\]
\[
\times \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x + \tau) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x - 0.5h, t') \right] \right\} dt'
\]

\[= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_t^{t+\tau} \left\{ \eta_1(x, t, t') \bar{u}_x(x, t + \tau) + k(u(x - 0.5h, t') \eta_2(x, t, t')) \right\} dt'. \]

First, we shall estimate \(\eta_2(x, t, t')\). For this purpose, we shall take the advantage of the obvious identity

\[\bar{u}_x(x, t + \tau) = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x-h}^{x} \int_{x'-0.5h}^{x'+0.5h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x'', t + \tau) dx'' dx'. \quad (3.6)\]

Using (3.6), we obtain

\[
\eta_2(x, t, t') = \bar{u}_x(x, t + \tau) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x - 0.5h, t')
\]

\[= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x-h}^{x} \int_{x'-0.5h}^{x'+0.5h} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x'', t + \tau) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x - 0.5h, t') \right) dx'' dx'. \quad (3.7)\]

\[= \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x-h}^{x} \int_{x'-0.5h}^{x'+0.5h} \int_{t'}^{t+\tau} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x'', t') dt' dx'' dx'. \quad (3.8)\]

Integrating \(|\eta_2(x, t, t')|\) over \(t' \in (t, t + \tau)\), applying the Cauchy–Schwartz–Bunyakowski inequality and taking into account the estimate (3.8), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{\tau} \int_t^{t+\tau} \left\{ \eta_2(x, t + \tau, t') \right\} dt' \leq \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sqrt{h}} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right\|_{L^2(\epsilon)} + \frac{h\sqrt{\tau}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left\| \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \right\|_{L^2(\epsilon)}, \quad (3.9)\]

where \(\epsilon = (x - 1.5h, x + 0.5h) \times (t, t + \tau)\).

To estimate \(\eta_1(x, t, t')\), we use the relation

\[u(x - 0.5h, t') = \frac{u(x - h, t') + u(x, t')}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-0.5h}^{x} \int_{x'-0.5h}^{x'} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x'', t') dx'' dx'. \]
Then the following expressions are valid

\[
\eta_1(x, t, t') = a(\tilde{u}(x, t)) - k(u(x - 0.5h, t')) \\
\leq L[0.5(\tilde{u}(x - h, t) + \tilde{u}(x, t)) - u(x - 0.5h, t')] \\
\leq \frac{L}{2} \left| \tilde{u}(x - h, t) - u(x - h, t') + \tilde{u}(x, t) - u(x, t') \right| \\
+ \int_{x-0.5h}^{x} \int_{x-0.5h}^{x'} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x', t') \, dx \, dx' \\
\leq \frac{L}{2} \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-0.5h}^{x+0.5h} \int_{t}^{t'} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x', t') \, dt \, dx' \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-0.5h}^{x} \int_{x}^{x'} (x'' - x') \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x'', t') + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x'' - h, t') \right) \, dx' \, dx' \\
+ \int_{x-0.5h}^{x} \int_{x-0.5h}^{x'} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x'', t') \, dx' \, dx'.
\]

Integrating \(|\eta_1(x, t, t')|\) over \(t' \in (t, t + \tau)\) and taking into account the inequality (3.10), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t}^{t+\tau} |\eta_1(x, t, t')| \, dt' \leq L \left( \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{k^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right).
\]  

(3.10)

It is not easy to show ([2], vol.2, pp.10–11) that

\[
|\tilde{a}_x(x, t + \tau)| \leq \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |\tilde{a}_x(x, t + \tau)| \leq M\|u(\cdot, t + \tau)\|_{W^{2,2}_{\alpha/2}(Q_T)}.
\]  

(3.11)

Combining the estimates (3.8), (3.10), (3.11), we obtain a priori estimate for \(\eta(x, t)\)

\[
|\eta(x, t)| \leq |\tilde{a}_x(x, t + \tau)| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t}^{t+\tau} |\eta_1(x, t, t')| \, dt' \\
+ \|k(u)\|_{C(\Omega_0)} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t}^{t+\tau} |\eta_2(x, t + \tau, t')| \, dt'.
\]  

(3.12)

Then summing \(\eta(x, t)\) over the grid points \(\{\bar{\omega}_x \{t = T\}\} \times \{\bar{\omega}_h \{x = 1\}\}, \) we
obtain
\[
\left( \sum_{t=0}^{T-\tau} \tau \| \eta \|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq M \left( \tau \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + h^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \right\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \right) \\
\times \left( \| u(., t + \tau) \|_{W^{3,3/2}(Q_T)} + 1 \right). 
\] (3.13)

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose there exists the unique solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2) in $H^{3,3/2}(Q_T)$, $k \in C(\mathcal{D}_a)$, $0 < k_1 \leq k(v) \leq k_2$ for $v \in \mathcal{D}_a$. Then, for sufficiently small $h < \delta_0$, $\tau < \tau_0$ there exists the unique solution of the finite difference scheme (3.1), (3.2), which converges to the solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2) as $h, \tau \to 0$ and for any $t \in \omega_T$ the following estimates
\[
\| z \|_t \leq M(h^2 + \tau) \| u \|_{W^{3,3/2}(Q_T)}, \quad \| z \|_t^2 = \| z \|_t^2 + \tau k_1 \| z \|_t^2, 
\] (3.14)
\[
\| z \|_{C(\omega_h)} \leq M(h + \sqrt{\tau}) \| u \|_{W^{3,3/2}(Q_T)} \leq \delta 
\] (3.15)
hold.

**Proof** We shall prove the assertion by means of mathematical induction. Obviously, that for $t = 0$ the estimates (3.15), (3.14) hold. Suppose that for all $t' = 0, \tau, \ldots, t$ the solution $y(x, t')$ of the finite difference scheme (3.1), (3.2) exists and the estimate (3.15) holds. To prove the same for $t' = t + \tau$, we shall use the energy method. Considering an inner product of (3.4) and $2\tau \hat{z}$, we obtain the energy identity
\[
\| \hat{z} \|^2 - \| z \|^2 + \tau \| \hat{z} \|^2 + 2\tau(a(y)\hat{z}_x, \hat{z}_x) + 2\tau((a(y) - a(\bar{a}))\hat{a}_x, \hat{z}_x) = -2\tau(\eta, \hat{z}_x).
\]
Then
\[
2\tau(a(y)\hat{z}_x, \hat{z}_x) \geq 2\tau k_1 \| \hat{z}_x \|^2,
\]
\[
2\tau((a(y) - a(\bar{a}))\hat{a}_x, \hat{z}_x) \leq \frac{\tau k_1}{2} \| \hat{z}_x \|^2 + \frac{\tau}{2k_1} M^2 L^2 \| u \|^2_{W^{3,3/2}(Q_T)} \| z \|^2,
\]
\[
2\tau(\eta, \hat{z}_x) \leq \frac{\tau k_1}{2} \| \hat{z}_x \|^2 + \frac{\tau}{2k_1} \| \eta \|^2.
\]
Summing over these estimates, we get the energy inequality
\[
\| \hat{z} \|^2 \leq (1 + \tau M) \| z \|^2 + \frac{\tau}{2k_1} \| \eta \|^2 \leq M \sum_{t'=0}^{t} \tau \| \eta(t') \|^2 \leq M \sum_{t'=0}^{T-\tau} \tau \| \eta(t') \|^2.
\] (3.16)
Substituting the estimate (3.13) into (3.16), we obtain (3.14). The inequality (3.15) follows from Theorem 1 ([11]).
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