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Abstract. Approximately 7 million tons of fly ash and slag are produced in thermal power plants in Serbia every year, only 3% of which is used in the cement industry. About 300 million tons of the ash-slag mixture are disposed in landfills, occupying an area of approximately 1600 hectares and generating environmental issues. Fly ash from Serbian power plants has pozzolanic properties and due to low concentration of calcium compounds (less than 10% CaO), they do not have self-cementing properties. According to the ASTM C618-15, this ash is from class F. According to the European Standard EN 197-1:2011, this ash is siliceous (type V) ash. From April 2014 to May 2015, an investigation of engineering properties of fly ash and mixtures of fly ash and slag from landfill (without or with binders of cement/lime) was conducted at the Laboratory of Soil Mechanics at the Faculty of Civil Engineering of the University of Belgrade (Serbia) and at the Institute for Testing of Materials – IMS Institute in Belgrade. The laboratory test results were showed in the study ‘Utilization of fly ash and slag produced in the TPP JP EPS thermal power plants for construction of railways’. Four kinds of waste materials from Serbian power plants were laboratory tested: (a) an ash-slag mixture from landfills at the ‘Nikola Tesla A’ thermal power plant; (b) fly ash from silos in the ‘Nikola Tesla B’ thermal power plant; (c) an ash-slag mixture from landfills at the ‘Kostolac A’ and ‘Kostolac B’ thermal power plants and ‘Srednje kostolačko ostrvo’ landfill; (d) fly ash from the ‘Kostolac’ thermal power plant. The following physical and mechanical properties of ash and mixtures were investigated: grain size distribution, Atterberg limits, specific gravity, moisture-density relationship, shear strength parameters in terms of effective stresses, California Bearing Ratio (CBR), and deformation parameters. The paper presents the results of laboratory tests of the materials with and without binders, and based on the laboratory results and previous research, the paper presents possibilities of using fly ash and slag for the construction of railway substructure in the planned construction and reconstruction of railway network in Serbia. The obtained results indicate that tested fly ash and ash-slag mixture have met the technical requirements and that they have the potential to be used in railway substructure.
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Introduction

Two European traffic corridors pass through the Republic of Serbia: the Danube Corridor VII and the road-railway Corridor X (Fig. 1). Corridor VII (the river Danube) connects Central Europe with the Black Sea via the Republic of Serbia and represents a part of the South East multi-modal axis. Corridor X with its branches – Xb (Belgrade–Budapest) and Xc (Nis–Sofia), represents the most significant road-railway route on the territory of the Republic of Serbia. It was established as a part of the South East multi-modal axis, linking Austria/Hungary, Slovenia/Croatia, the Republic of Serbia and Bulgaria/Macedonia/Greece and covers 760 km of railway lines in the Republic of Serbia. The goal is to improve the railway lines on Corridor X throughout Serbia by reconstructing the existing tracks and constructing the second track on the single-track sections in order to enable all corridor tracks for modern double track traffic and train speeds of 160 km/h (Ministarstvo građevinarstva, saobraćaja... 2014).

The railways of Serbia are a part of the European railway network and their development must be in accordance with the European transport policy (Popović, Lazarević 2013; Popović et al. 2013) and technical regulations (Popović et al. 2014). The European transport policy expects a tripling of freight transport on rail by 2020, which will affect the reduction of air pollution and increase of road traffic safety (EC 2011b). In spite
of that, consequences of this policy might be the adverse environmental impacts of railway traffic such as: increase of noise from railway traffic (expected ca. 5 dB (A)), use of non-renewable mineral resources for construction of new and reconstruction of existing railway infrastructure (sand, gravel, crushed stone), dumping of waste at landfills, etc.

Railway infrastructure projects require earth material in very large quantities and with properties in accordance to the prescribed technical requirements. In urban and agricultural areas, borrowed soil is not easily available and must therefore be transported over long distances. Quite often, large areas are covered by highly plastic and expansive soil, which is not suitable for the construction of substructure. Given the fact that approximately 7 million tons/year of fly ash and slag are produced in Serbian power plants, it was necessary to explore the possibility of fly ash and slag utilization for the construction of railway substructure in the Republic of Serbia. The idea was based on the positive French experience at the high speed rail line LGV Est Européenne (often shortened to LGV Est, designed for speeds of 320 km/h) where approximately 150000 m$^3$ of wet ashes from a landfill located at the thermal power plant were successfully used (Prel 2002).

The authors conducted this research at the Laboratory of Soil Mechanics at the Faculty of Civil Engineering of the University of Belgrade (Serbia) from May 2014 to May 2015.

1. Environmental and Economic Aspects of Fly Ash Utilization in Railway Substructure

The General Master Plan for Transport in Serbia (Italferr S.p.A. 2009) recognizes eight sections on Corridor X that need to be reconstructed. The costs of these projects are given in Table 1.

Fig. 2 shows the Serbian railway network with marked sections on Corridor X that are designated in Table 1, and the position of power plants with distances from each power plant to Belgrade. In the area of the Obrenovac, Kostolac and Svilajnac power plants, about 300 million tons of fly ash and slag are disposed in landfills, occupying an area of approximately 1600 hectares (American Coal Ash Association 2003; Baščarević et al. 2006). Fig. 2 shows a favourable position of RLC29 construction site to the power plants.

The reasons for the research conducted in the Republic of Serbia are:

– health protection of the population and prevention of ecosystem degradation in the region of the landfills in Obrenovac, Kostolac and Svilajnac (Fig. 3);

– ecological benefit by using industrial residues and preservation of non-renewable mineral resources, the removal of ash and slag landfills and land use for other purposes;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Cost [mln €]</th>
<th>Length [km]</th>
<th>Unit cost [mln €/km]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RLC13</td>
<td>Stara Pazova–Subotica*</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>555</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RLB8</td>
<td>Stara Pazova–Šid</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RLC29</td>
<td>Resnik–Velika Plana*</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>363</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RLB927</td>
<td>Velika Plana–Stalač</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RLC15</td>
<td>Stalač–Dunis</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RLB928</td>
<td>Dunis–Trupale**</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RLC16</td>
<td>Niš–Preševo*</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>629</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RLC17</td>
<td>Niš–Dimitrovgrad*</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>440</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
* Single track railway:
  – first row is the cost of upgrading to 160 km/h;
  – second row is the cost of double track for 160 km/h;
** Single track railway: overall costs of upgrading to 160 km/h and construction of second track.
– the economic benefit due to the construction of embankments using cheap materials (the ash price at power plants is 0.00 € according to the Government decision and landfill are located near the construction sites), lower costs for compaction, utilization in embankment construction on weak subsoil (ash and slag have low specific gravities compared to soil).

2. Technical Requirements for the Railway Substructure

The requirements for the railway infrastructure on Corridor X were defined according to the Technical Specification for Interoperability (TSI) relating to the 'infrastructure' subsystem of the trans-European conventional rail system (EC 2011a). Table 2 shows TSI categories of lines for the conventional rail infrastructure subsystem. After reconstruction, infrastructure on Corridor X through the Republic of Serbia should meet the technical requirements of category V – Upgraded core TEN line (highlighted row in the Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TSI categories</th>
<th>Passenger traffic (P)</th>
<th>Freight traffic (F)</th>
<th>Mixed traffic (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New core TEN line (IV)</td>
<td>IV-P</td>
<td>IV-F</td>
<td>IV-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgraded core TEN line (V)</td>
<td>V-P</td>
<td>V-F</td>
<td>V-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New other TEN line (VI)</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgraded other TEN line (VII)</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>VII</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The performance levels for each TSI category of line were defined by (EC 2011a). The upgraded core TEN lines (V) have the following performance: GB structure gauge, 22.5 t axle load, 160 km/h speed on passenger and mixed line, 100 km/h speed on freight line, 600 m freight train length and 300 m passenger train length. The mentioned performances specify the minimum requirements for infrastructure on Corridor X through Serbia.

The typical technical parameters for the bearing capacity and the dimensioning of track formation and earth formation (track on earth formation, Fig. 4), were defined by each Infrastructure Manager. Technical requirements for substructure according to Serbian technical regulation (Železnice Srbije 2006) are given in Table 3.

In addition, the technical requirements for the main line on the Corridor X in Serbia (Serbian technical regulation – Železnice Srbije (2012)) include the following:

- embankment height >2.0 m, beneath prepared layer:
  - depth up to 2.0 m: $E_{v2} = 45$ MN/m$^2$ or 100% of the maximum dry density as determined from the Standard Proctor test;
  - depth > 2.0 m: $E_{v2} = 20$ MN/m$^2$ or 95% of the maximum dry density;
- embankment height ≤2.0 m, beneath prepared layer: $E_{v2} = 45$ MN/m$^2$ or 100% of the maximum dry density as determined from the Standard Proctor test.

The values in Table 3 are consistent with the recommendations contained in UIC Code 719 R ‘Earthworks and Track-Bed Layers for Railway Lines’ (UIC 1994). Generally, recommended degree of compaction and minimum deformation moduli for each substructure layer are shown in Table 4.
Table 3. Minimum values of the modulus of deformation, Proctor density and modulus of dynamic deformation for railway lines in Serbia (Železnice Srbije 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Railway line type</th>
<th>Proctor density ( D_{pr} )</th>
<th>Modulus of static deformation ( E_{V2} ) [MN/m²]</th>
<th>Degree of irregularity</th>
<th>( E_{vdin} ) [MN/m²]</th>
<th>Modulus of static deformation ( E_{V2} ) [MN/m²]</th>
<th>Soil type</th>
<th>Modulus of dynamic deformation ( E_{vdin} ) [MN/m²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New designed track</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main railways</td>
<td>1.00 (100%)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.00 (100%)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>GU, GP, GW, GF, SP, SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional railways</td>
<td>1.00 (100%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.97 (97%)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>GU, GP, GW, GF, SP, SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local railways</td>
<td>0.97 (97%)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt;15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.95 (95%)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>GU, GP, GW, GF, SP, SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing track</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V \geq 160 ) km/h</td>
<td>0.97 (97%)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.95 (95%)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>GU, GP, GW, GF, SP, SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V &lt; 160 ) km/h</td>
<td>0.95 (95%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.93 (93%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>GU, GP, GW, GF, SP, SW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The degree of compaction and minimum deformation moduli in accordance with UIC Code 719 R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Embankment fill:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_d ) (dry density)</td>
<td>( \geq 95% ) of the maximum dry density as determined from the reference compaction test (Standard Proctor test or Modified Proctor test depending on the type of line, high of the embankment and country)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{V2} )</td>
<td>( \geq 45 ) MN/m² for fine soils or 60 MN/m² for sandy and gravelly soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{V2}/E_{V1} )</td>
<td>( \leq 2.2 ) for ( E_{V1} ) less than the minimum value prescribed ( E_{V2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prepared subgrade or form layer:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_d ) (dry density)</td>
<td>( \geq 100% ) of the maximum dry density as determined from the Standard Proctor test or 95% of the maximum dry density as determined from the Modified Proctor test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{V2} )</td>
<td>( \geq 80 ) MN/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{V2}/E_{V1} )</td>
<td>( \leq 2.2 ) for ( E_{V1} ) less than the minimum value prescribed ( E_{V2} ) (some countries use less restrictive values for conventional lines)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blanket layer: The requirements for compaction are specified in relation to the category and loading of the railway line. In the case of new line constructions, the following compactness requirements must be fulfilled in the blanket layers:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_d )</td>
<td>( \geq \gamma_d \geq 100–103% ) of the Normal Proctor density or ( \gamma_d \geq 98–100% ) of the Modified Proctor density depending on the country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{V2} )</td>
<td>( \geq 120 ) MN/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{V2}/E_{V1} )</td>
<td>( \leq ) Some countries include ( E_{V2}/E_{V1} \leq 2.2 ) for ( E_{V1} ) less than the minimum value prescribed for ( E_{V2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Testing Materials

Four types of waste materials from Serbian power plants were laboratory tested:

- ash-slag mixture from landfill at the 'Nikola Tesla A' thermal power plant (TENT A),
- fly ash from silos in the 'Nikola Tesla B' thermal power plant (TENT B),
- ash-slag mixture from landfills at the 'Kostolac A' and 'Kostolac B' thermal power plants and 'Srednje kostolacko ostrvo' landfill (TEKO AB), and
- fly ash from the 'Kostolac' thermal power plant (TEKO).

The chemical composition of the materials used is given in Table 5. More detailed chemical investigations of the fly ash from TENT A were carried out in the research by Popovic and Djordjevic (2015a, 2015b).

Due to the SiO2+Al2O3+Fe2O3 content being above 70%, the sulphur trioxide SO3 content is 4% less and CaO content is 10% less, with the used ashes belonging to class F (according to ASTM C618-15) or siliceous – type V (according to EN 197-1:2013).

According to the Serbian 'Regulation on the Limits of Radioactive Contamination of People and Environment and Methods for Decontamination' from 1999, the content of radionuclides of ash and slag from the Serbian thermal power plants is less than the defined limit for construction materials (Agencija za zaštitu... 1999).

Based on the content of heavy metals, the ash and slag belong to inert waste marked as W177-GG040-32050 (according to EU regulations). The label was assigned by the Agency for Recycling of Serbia.

The effectiveness of stabilizing the fly ash and fly ash-slag mixture with next binders was investigated:

- hydrated lime, 'Jelen Do', dosage 5 and 7%;
- Portland composite cement PC 35M (V-L) 32.5R, 'Titan', Kosjeric, dosage 2 and 4%.

4. Testing Methods

Laboratory methods, according to Serbian standards (SRPS), were used to determine the physical and mechanical properties of the ashes and mixtures.

Grain size analysis was performed according to SRPS CEN ISO/TS 17892-4:2011.

Atterberg limits were determined using SRPS CEN ISO/TS 17892:2011 and specific gravity was determined according to SRPS CEN ISO/TS 17892:2012.

Permeability was determined using the falling head permeability test method, according to SRPS U.B1.034:1970 on the cylindrical samples with a diameter of 50 mm and height of 100 mm.

Compaction tests were performed following SRPS U.B1.038:1997 standard. One-dimensional consolidation tests were conducted according to SRPS CEN ISO/TS 17892:2011 on samples with a diameter of 70 mm and a height of 20 mm. The specimens were soaked for 24 hours before compression and then loaded incrementally to a maximum vertical stress of 400 kPa.

Direct shear tests were performed according to SRPS CEN ISO/TS 17892:2011 on the samples with a square base of 60 × 60 mm and a height of 30 mm. Prior to the shear, the saturated specimens were consolidated with vertical stresses of 100, 200 and 400 kPa. The equipment used in these tests was with controlled strain rate.

California Bearing Ratio (CBR) tests were performed according to SRPS EN 13286-47:2012 on fully soaked samples.

5. Test Results

Physical properties, permeability and moisture-density relationship of the tested materials (TENT A, TENT B, TEKO AB, TEKO) without binders are shown in Table 6.

The testing samples for the investigation of the mechanical properties were prepared at optimum moisture content by Standard compaction Proctor test. Samples were tested two hours after compaction, as well as after 7 and 28 days.

5.1. Shear Strength Parameters in Terms of Effective Stresses

Shear strength parameters in terms of effective stresses were determined using direct shear test. Obtained results (Fig. 5) have shown that the friction angle after 28 days was in the range of ϕ' = 30–35° without the addition of binders. With the addition of cement or lime, the friction angle increases to ϕ' = 38–45°. Friction angles of tested ash-slag mixture and fly ash are in the range of sand and gravel. Cohesion additionally increases the total shear strength.

Note: values may not be entirely representative of tested material, as over time the chemical content of the coal used by the power plants may have changed.
5.2. California Bearing Ratio

The CBR values of basic testing materials and with the addition of binders are shown in Fig. 6. CBR values of basic testing materials are different: 7% for TENT A, 12% for TENT B, 24% for TEKO AB, 55% for TEKO. With the addition of binders, the obtained results showed significant gain. In the case of TENT A and TENT B, CBR value increased in the range of 90–150%, for TEKO AB in the range of 75–190% and for TEKO in the range of 140–230%.

The estimated values of modulus of static deformation $E_{V2}$ calculated from the correlation with CBR (Gomes Correia 2004) are shown in Fig. 7. The values of $E_{V2}$ of basic testing materials are: 30 MPa for TENT A, 44 MPa for TENT B, 72 MPa for TEKO AB and 132 MPa for TEKO. With the addition of binders, $E_{V2}$ values increased: for TENT A in the range of 170–260 MPa, for TENT B and TEKO AB in the range of 170–370 MPa and for TEKO in the range of 270–440 MPa.

5.3. Deformation Parameters

The compressibility modulus for basic testing materials is in range $M_{V(50–100)} = 10–24$ MPa and $M_{V(100–200)} = 20–39$ MPa and increases significantly with the addition of binders up to 50–70 MPa (Fig. 8).
6. Discussion

An ideal fill material for the railway embankment should have the following properties:
- high bearing capacity referring to static and dynamic loads;
- minor settlement and good consolidation;
- high structural resistance;
- vertical elasticity;
- stability to erosion;
- good water permeability;
- volume and filter stability referring to the ballast (above) and subsoil (below);
- frost resistance;
- cost effectiveness (supply, transport, construction and maintenance);
- to be environmentally friendly.

In addition, embankment fill material must meet the technical requirements for railway infrastructure. At the same time, mutual adjustment of stiffness of superstructure and substructure elements is necessary to be achieved along the track (Puzavac et al. 2012).

Studies on the use of self-cementing fly ash for soil stabilization have been conducted by a number of researchers so far. Many scientific results have shown that the self-cementing fly ash is an effective and economical stabilizing agent for a wide variety of construction applications. However, fly ash from the Serbian power plants has pozzolanic properties and because of low concentrations of calcium compounds (less than 10% CaO), they are devoid of self–cementing characteristics. Because of this, it is usually necessary to add binders such as cement or lime to this type of ash in order to improve its mechanical properties, which can be observed in Figs 5–8.

Mechanical properties (CBR, shear strength parameters, compressibility modulus) of some of the investigated samples match technical requirements even without the addition of binders. With the addition of binders, all investigated ash samples match technical requirements.

According to values of EV2, which were obtained from the correlation with CBR (Fig. 7), we can conclude the following:
- ash-slag mixture from landfill, TENT A, without addition can be used for embankment layers at a depth >2.0 m beneath the prepared formation layer; with addition of 2% of cement or 5% of lime, this material met the bearing capacity requirements for prepared formation layer and for embankment layers at a depth <2.0 m beneath the prepared formation layer;
- fly ash TENT B, without addition satisfied the bearing capacity requirements for embankment layers at depth <2.0 m beneath the prepared formation layer; with addition of 2% of cement or 5% of lime, this material met the bearing capacity requirements for prepared formation layer.
- fly ash TEKO and ash-slag mixture TEKO AB met the bearing capacity requirements for prepared formation layer even without additions.

Frost resistance index of some of investigated ash with binders is slightly lower than 80% (according to standard SRPS U.B1.050:1970), so it can be considered acceptable due to the high absolute values of unconfined compression (Institut IMS 2015).

Conclusions

The results of laboratory testing, as well as previous research in Serbia (Vukićević et al. 2013, 2015; Cmiljanić et al. 2010; Institut za puteve 2008) and many published papers (Ramadas 2012; Havanagi et al. 2011; Kolay et al. 2011; Senol et al. 2006; Parsons, Kneebone 2005; Nalbantoglu, Gucbilmez 2002; Pandian et al. 2002; Parsons 2002; Zia, Fox 2000) showed that investigated ashes (with or without or binder) are suitable for application in the railway substructure for:
- stabilization of soft subsoil (Fig. 9, left);
- construction of embankments using soil stabilized with ash;
- construction of embankments with the core of ash (Fig. 9, left);
- construction of embankments using ash;
- construction of prepared formation layer using ash with binders (cement or lime), for new designed track or in rehabilitation/reconstruction (Fig. 9, right);
- construction of prepared formation layer using ash stabilization of soil, for new designed tracks, or in rehabilitation/reconstruction;
- stabilization with the addition of ash in the construction of the hydraulically bonded bearing layer under concrete or asphalt bearing layer (Fig. 10);
construction of a bridge-embankment transition area (a cement stabilization is defined in railway standards, and utilization of ash should also be investigated for this stabilization, Fig. 11);

construction of pavement for access roads to railway stations as well as manipulation areas (loading, reloading, or unloading zone, as well as parking lots and internal roads) at railway freight stations.

Despite the positive effects that have been shown based on the results of the laboratory testing, field verification of the results needs to be carried out. Further research is directed towards verification of the laboratory results by experimental construction of embankments with various types of ash and slag (with or without binders), which includes a control field investigations and laboratory testing.
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![Fig. 9. The stabilization of soft subsoil and construction of embankments using soil stabilized with ash and construction of embankments with the core of ash (left side), and construction of prepared formation layer using ash with binders (right side)]()

![Fig. 10. Position of the hydraulically bonded bearing layer in the slab track structure](

![Fig. 11. The stabilization zone in the bridge-embankment transition area](


