Abstract. The first public rail transport in the world started functioning in 1820. Like everywhere at that time, horse-drawn coaches heaved on tracks were the most popular. The first horse-drawn tram started carrying passengers in the industrial region of Wales, England. Starting from 1893, three so-called konké lines of such a tram started operating in Vilnius. Although the ticket was expensive to the city residents of those days, however, they intensively used this kind of transport: in 1909, 2.6 million passengers were transported. Although attempts to replace horse-drawn vehicles by internal combustion engines after the First World War were made, this form of transport was found to be irrational and soon gave the way to buses. Initiative for the trams equipped with electric motors was shortly defeated in Vilnius: lack of funds was felt, and confusion in the administration of the city was predominating. For the period 1915–1920, the local government changed very frequently. In 1926, konké tracks were dismantled. Its remains still can be seen at the enclosures of the embankment of the Vilnia (Vilnios upė) confluence. The coaches were sold for suburban residents that erected small cattle-sheds for domestic animals.
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tion compared with rolling on the pavement of round stones\(^3\). From about 1852, the tracks of horse-drawn trams were replaced by flange rails rolling on which rubber wheels made coaches non-ear-splitting, silent, easy to use in any weather and under traffic control when the rule of the right (or left) side of the street carriageway was spontaneously followed: the wheels of coaches used to roll steadily from their intended route.

The first horse-drawn crews on tracks started boarding passengers between Oystermouth, Mumbles and Swansea Docks in Wales, UK in 1807 (Fig. 2).

On 26 November 1832, the first horse-drawn trams in Harlem, New York, United States started running. John G. Stephenson from New Rochelle was an American coachbuilder who invented and patented coaches that were produced in his own manufactory and adjusted to the traction of horses or mules.

Horse-drawn trams soon became popular. In the 9th decade of the 19th century, the cities of the US counted 415 tram companies. Tram lines made more than 6 thousand miles, and 188 million passengers were carried out annually.

Horse-drawn trams in the Bleecker Street Line, NY, US were functioning up to 1917, and in Pittsburgh – up to 1923. The last mule-drawn line in Mexico City was dismantled only in 1932, whereas in the Mexican city Celaya, it was functioning even up to 1954.

In 1892, Toronto in Canada was the first city in the New World where horse-drawn passenger coaches were replaced by electric trams.

The first horse-drawn trams in Europe started running between Czech city České Budějovice and Austrian Linz in 1828.

At the moment, horse-drawn trams are functioning as a pleasure ride in a number of city parks worldwide and Disneylands within tourist seasons.

2. The First Public Transport in Vilnius

How did Tramvaju street (Tramvajų g.) in Antakalnis district, Vilnius appear if the city has never had the tram, at least the one we can imagine today?

However, it appears that the street is a relic of the horse-drawn tram (konkė), heritage from the past that has not left the trace. The old Vilnius plans of the tsarist area called this short lane ‘an iron street of horses’ (конно-железная городская дорога), because, with reference to one source, at the end of it on the other side of the present V. Grybo street (V. Grybo g.), and according to the other – between Smėlio (Smėlio g.) and V. Grybo streets, a land plot where the large stables of the so-called konkė and coach stands were built stretched. This is the place from which passenger coaches were driven into the present Antakalnio street (Antakalnio g.) and other konkė routes.

The horse-drawn tram was functioning in Vilnius for 22 years (Fig. 3). Unfortunately, the city has still been waiting for the electric tram. Yet in 1823, horse-drawn omnibuses were running in the bumpy streets of Paris (Fig. 4) thus carrying up to 50 passengers (Wikipedia 2015a).

Fig. 1. The first horse-drawn coach (1872) (source: Wikipedia 2015c)

Fig. 2. Horse-drawn tram in Manchester (1877) (source: Wikipedia 2015b)

Fig. 3. The konkė coach at the beginning of the Georgijevsky prospect (now Gedimino pr.) (source: Inna Wileńszczyzna jest… 2014)

Fig. 4. Horse-drawn omnibus in Paris (source: Wikipedia 2015a)

\(^3\) The Polish language vividly defines such pavement ‘cat’s forehead’ (’kocie łby’).
In 1854, a horse-drawn railway was opened in Paris, and in 1856 – it was established in Berlin and Hamburg. In the 9th decade of the 19th century, similar public transport was available in 18 thousand cities of the US (Wikipedia 2015b).

The first horse-drawn railway in Russia was found-  ed in Nevsky Prospect (Невский проспект), St. Petersburg, in August 1863. 30 years later, on 15 June 1893, a similar railway was set up in Vilnius.

Six years past from proposing an idea to putting it into practice: yet at the beginning of 1887, state advisor engineer A. Gorchakov appealed to the City Duma (Municipal Council) and suggested building the first konkė line. The Duma supported the proposal, and, on April 25 of the same year, an appropriate contract was drafted. However, negotiations on the practical implementation of konkė were delayed, and only on 25 May 1891, newspaper News of Vilnius Province (Виленские Губернские Новости) announced the protocol imposed by the Duma on 9 April 1891. The document declared that a Preparatory Commission was set up, and it would be responsible for the final settlement of the issues on building the horse-drawn railway in Vilnius. A. Gorchakov was granted an exclusive right, ‘at his own expense and risk’ (на собственный счёт u страх) to construct and operate tracks for carrying passengers and freight along the streets and squares following the directions (Fig. 5):

- from the Green Bridge (Žaliasis tiltas) through Vilnius to Georgijevsky Prospect (now Gedimino pr.) following to Jokūbo side-street and through Uosto and Pylimo streets to Bread Square (Duonos aikštė), and from here to Sodų street and to the square and building of the St. Petersburg–Warsaw railway.
- from the Užupis Bridge (Užupio tiltas) through Alexander Prospect (Avenue), Botany (Botanikos g.) street, the Cathedral Square (Katedros aikštė) and, when merged with the first link, to Lukiškės Square (Lukiškių aikštė).
- from the Cathedral Square through Antakalnio street and Antakalnis suburban area to city limits reaching the Vilija (Neries upė) and the ferry used for city relations with the permanent military camp (Inna Wileišišczyzna jest... 2014).

On 16 May 1891, the Vilnius City Duma approved this document, and its chairman secret adviser N. Rubcov handed it to sign to contractor A. Gorchakov.

Tram routes had to operate from 7 a.m. to 10 p.m. It was provided that the coaches would move 12 versts at a speed of 13 km per hour. Due to a low width of the street, the route from the Green Bridge to the railway station was decided to be targeted along Gendarme side-street (present Jogailos street) and Pylimo street. Only seven years later after the initial proposal, the first konkė line the Green Bridge – Railway Station was completed. A. Gorchakov made a few changes in the contract – mainly found the need to change the intended width of the horse-drawn railway track from 3.5–4.0 feet to one meter, or 3.28 feet. The railway structure accounted for the steel beams of the flange rail, and coach wheels were originally made of steel and only later replaced by the rubber ones.

In 1892, A. Gorchakov sold his concession to monopoly The Society of Horse Transport in the Russian City and its Suburban Areas (Русское Общество Городского и Пригородного Конского Транспорта). Engineer M. Lachowski who had previously built konkė lines in Daugavpils (Latvia), Minsk (Belarus) and Voronezh (Russia) was responsible for constructing the first line.

On 15 June 1893, the opening ceremony of konkė took place at the crossroads of Georgijevsky Prospect and Gendarme side-street (present Jogailos street) in Vilnius. After a short speech, Governor General’s wife cut the opening ribbon. The family of the Governor General and guests got on the coach and started following the first route. The second coach behind was carrying other important persons of the city.

At the end of June of the same year, the second line the Užupis Bridge – Lukiškės Square, and in July, the third line the Cathedral Square – Antakalnis started functioning. 28 coaches on average made daily trips on three routes.

It was told that two horses heavily drew the konkė coach uphill to T. Kosciuškos street, and therefore the

---

4 Verst – an old Russian measure of length equal to 0.6629 mile, or 1.067 kilometres. Used in Lithuania for the period 1796–1915.
third one was required. A thick branch was leaning over the street from a growing roadside willow at the entrance to the hill park just outside a bridge across the Vilnia. That was the place where a teenager used to sit and put a harness on a horse. When a konkė coach used to approach the right place, the chariot used to slow down the two-horse coach, and the teenager deftly, like a cowboy, used to jump off on the coachman’s seat, hang the swingletree on the hook, and the coach then was drawn by the troika (троїка). The horses instinctively stopped at the church, the assistant uncoupled his horse, took away the swingletree and trace and rode down to wait for another coach. One or two harnessed horses were drawing the coach approximately at a speed of 13 versts per hour. Seat benches arranged in the transverse direction of the konkė coach had a vertical axis. Before taking a seat, at the beginning of the line, passengers had to manually rotate them 180 degrees, because the horse was harnessed to the coach from the other side: the coach was riding back. Such a simplified end of the line dispensed with a turning loop. On 12 May 1893, a resolution on horse-drawn railway operating procedures and rules for passengers was approved by the Board of the City. On 21 June 1893, the resolution was printed by the daily paper News of Vilnius Province. Those were the requirements for the passengers and first public transport in Vilnius:

- any crew using wrong rolling-stock not adapted to tracks is forbidden to ride on the horse-drawn railway;
- the crews and carriages riding on tracks, or in parallel with those, must turn sideways ahead of the coach. For going off the tracks or through them, speed must be reduced; all crews and horse-drawn carriages must give way to the coach, though it is not an exemption from the obligation to stop the coach in case of need;
- dumping and loading debris, mud and snow on the horse-drawn carriageway and tracks, leaving horse-drawn coaches on the rail-carriageway, cluttering or spoiling tracks and dumping debris and mud from the rail-carriageway to other side of the street, sewage ditches and sidewalks are prohibited; also, the horse-drawn railway management team is obliged to supervise the accumulated rubbish and other waste must be raked into piles near the carriageway and taken out of the city to the indicated places at least three times a day; moreover, in order to avoid environmental dust, railway owners are obliged to irrigate the entire carriageway with clean water, as outlined in the resolution issued by the Vilnius City Duma on 25 February 1885;
- if a passenger requests to board the coach and get out of it, the coach must be stopped at any place of the rout except from turn-offs, switches and slopes;
- coach traffic is only allowed at a slow pace at street intersections, driveways from bridges and other slopes as well as in confined spaces and street paving areas;

- horse-drawn railway attendants and escort must be sober, neatly dressed and polite with passengers;
- it is prohibited to board the coach and get out through the front area;
- drunken persons and the passengers wearing severe dirty clothes, for example chimney sweepers, coal handlers, etc. are not allowed into the coach;
- keeping dogs in the coach is prohibited;
- passengers are only allowed to carry with them baggage comfortable to hold; the scope and quality of the carried baggage cannot interfere with neighbours;
- smoking is only allowed in open areas of coaches and open-type coaches;
- in the cases of coach derailment or failure, no refund is provided for the passenger who is only allowed to get into the next coach and continue the journey using the same ticket;
- harnessing stallions is prohibited (Inna Wileńszczynska jest... 2014).

The class of the seat in Vilnius konkė determined the price of the ticket. The 1st class ticket (places in the closed-type coach) cost five kopeks, and that of the 2nd class and in open spaces – three kopecks. The charge was in force from 7 a.m. to 10 p.m., whereas for the rest of the day, the ticket cost was twice more expensive. The children of up to 5 years were transported free of charge in case they did not occupy an additional seat. Those travelling along the first two lines intersecting at Georgijevsky Prospect and Vilnius Street (Vilniaus g.) could acquire the so-called transfer tickets. Thus, if boarded the first-line coach, a passenger was able to transfer in the directions of the Green Bridge or the Railway station.

Vilnius citizens found travelling by konkė expensive. Ticket prices were set by a joint stock company combining together horse-drawn railways of Russian cities and suburban areas. The company hold a monopoly in this field, and therefore strictly maintained high tariffs. Neither the discontent of city citizens nor the efforts of Vilnius tycoons to make competition produced positive results. Yet from the very beginning, not all Vilnius residents enthusiastically supported the decision to build horse-drawn railway transport in the city. At that time, newspapers experienced fear that installing tracks on streets might cause accidents. The crews of carriages crossing track lines might find the risk of overturning while the passengers might fall out and experience injury thus jeopardizing their lives. Moreover, the horses of other road users might be frightened by konkė coaches, and the passengers that fell out from carriages might face a misfortune of falling under the wheels of the coach.

Despite doubts and protests, konkė firmly established itself in the city life.

Soon, it was difficult to accept Vilnius without ‘a miracle of the technical progress’ of that time. Streets became very lively: coaches produced noise, the chariot continuously rang the bell all the time and screamed thus warning sleeping passers-by. In 1909, 28 coaches
were running in the city, they were served by 150 employees, including chariots and handymen, conductors, controllers, several supervisors, carpenters and painters. That year, konkė mileage made 776.3 thousand kilometres and 2.6 million passengers were transported (every city citizen used it on average 14 times). For the period of 15 years of operation, the length of konkė lines did not change: similarly like in 1893, they made more than 9 verstas (approximately 10 kilometres).

The Vilnius City Duma constantly interfered in konkė work checking it, because the number of complaints and criticism did not decrease in newspapers. In March 1902, the City Council created a special commission responsible for figuring conflicts between urban population and the horse-drawn railway association. The note signed by A. Lapinskas, the chairman of the commission, found that 12 closed-type coaches from 24 in the horse-drawn railway park required major repair while the rest – permanent one. 13 open-type coaches were operating without permission received from the City Council: the vehicles were not safe for carrying passengers. Strong criticism was addressed to the park due to horses as hauling force. 20 out of 168 horses were quite unsuitable for the job, 34 were too old, 69 horses were improperly fed and therefore diminished, the quality of 37 horses were below the average level and only 8 horses were of fully adequate condition.

The requirement for not harnessing stallions was not satisfied: 93 of those were used in the park. The commission remained unsatisfied with conditions for the waiting rooms of the final stops of the lines. What is more, it seemed that the waiting room of the final stop of Antakalnis line was used as a firewood warehouse rather than according to its direct purpose. A similar situation was observed at the stop of the Užupis Bridge where hay, straw and oats were stored.

Staff clothing did not meet requirements established for konkė service providers: it was depreciated and dirty. It turns out that cabmen, conductors and the first horsemen had no funds for buying uniforms. The Commission found significant deficiencies in operating konkė. It was established the coaches were always overloaded. The requirement determining that the number of the carried out passengers would not exceed the number of seats was not satisfied. Though regulations required that no more than 2 standing passengers were allowed in the free area at both ends of the coach, a crowd of passengers was usually faced. In addition, the front area was primarily designed for two uniformed policemen having a right to travel free of charge. The full coaches were not achieved to run marked with small red flags on both sides with a note ‘All places are occupied’. The konkė management team was not honest about selling tickets. All passengers, independently of the class of the coach, were charged the fare of 5 kopeks, which was the price for the 1st class ticket (travelling in the 2nd class, i.e. the fare of the ticket of the transported passengers was only 3 kopecks in the open part of the coach). Even greater lawlessness was established considering the so-called preferential tickets. For the convenience of konkė passengers, 100-ticket subscriber books were introduced and offered 30% (for students – 50%) discount on the ticket price to passengers. Unfortunately, the book was only valid for exactly one calendar month from the 1st day one of one month to the 1st day of the other. No possibility of using all 100 tickets in a month was observed, and therefore, actually, the owners of the subscriber book were not granted any privilege. Due to the made transgressions, the Commission recommended Vilnius Duma bringing the konkė Board to the court. Yet at the initial stage of implementing konkė in Vilnius, sceptics were publicly mocking and considered it as an anachronism. The 8th decade of the 19th century was facing the replacement of horse-drawn trams by steam engines worldwide while at the turn of the 19th and the 20th centuries, they became electrically powered, faster and cleaner. In fact, while granting the concession to A. Gorchakov, Vilnius Duma suggested a possibility of advancing the horse-drawn tram in the future replacing it with steam, electric or internal combustion engines, but failed to take appropriate actions.

Finally, the First World War started, and transport stopped. After the war, the horse-drawn railway was not restored in Vilnius. Only Tramvaių street, formerly called Arklių geležinė (an iron street of horses) (коннек жезлесная городская дорога), in Antakalnis district has survived.

3. From the Horse-Drawn to Mechanically Driven Tram

For the period of 20 years, eight projects on an electric tram were designed. The last one was developed before the very beginning of World War I. The line had to connect the centre and suburban areas of the city. It was provided for widening Jogailos street and destroying several succession buildings in Pilies and Arklių streets. Several land lots were purchased, but everything was stopped by the war. Vilnius was occupied by the Germans, the konkė depot was purchased, horses were seized and a part of the tracks were dismantled. The idea of the tram in Vilnius was reborn in the summer of 1924. Engineer L. Piegutkowski installed Ford internal combustion engine in one of the remaining old konkė coaches. On 25 July 1924, magazine ‘Vilnius Morning’ (Vileiškio jutro) printed news, that, yesterday, at 11 o’clock, a tram route from the Cathedral Square to Pospieška was inaugurated. The tram was driven by engineer L. Piegutkowski himself, and all members of the magistrate shared a seat with president Jankowski. And although not all road works were completed, a journey to Pospieška lasted only 18 minutes. The tram stopped twice: because of the jam in the engine and due to coach derailment. The experts were happy and believed that, in case tracks would be properly serviced, the trip to the end of Antakalnis could last no longer than 10 minutes (Fig. 6).

Although not for long but Pigutka routes were opened along the other lines of old konkė. The tram coach powered by the internal combustion engine experienced technical problems. ‘The tracks of the horse-drawn railway (flange rail) were adjusted to driving at a speed of 5–8 km per hour, and the mechanical tram
moved at a speed of 20 km/hour and even faster, it was not surprising that all passengers used to get off at tram derailment, set the coach on the track and continued their journey. Moreover, the structure of old konkė coaches was weak, the engine constantly broke and fires devastated coaches. Building new coaches was underfunded. More recent buses started successful competition with a far from perfect hybrid of konkė and the internal combustion engine. The first Saurer-type buses appeared in Vilnius in the middle of the 3rd decade and were brought from Arbon city. They were simply called ‘arbons’, and, within the interwar period, this synonym of the bus put down its roots in the colloquial speech of Vilnius citizens.

The first bus route followed from the Cathedral Square to Verkiai. Similarly to konkė, buses were shyly accepted by Vilnius citizens. Yet in 1908, a few proactive businessmen (G. Ščerbakovas, I. Jučas, F. Januševskis, etc.) appealed to Vilnius Duma and suggested opening the first bus lines in the city. Due to the fact that, until then, city transport had been only regulated following rules for cabmen, the local government, before proposing a resolution, were advised by the city boards of St. Petersburg an Kyiv. The law on the compulsory use of cars, the transportation of passengers and cargo destinations in Vilnius was adopted on 24 February 1909 (Žičkus 2014a)5. The law permitted driving single-storey buses at the maximum speed of 15 versts per hour and banned riding vehicles on 69 streets of Vilnius city. The busses were allowed running on the previous konkė routes only, and the first one had to follow from the Cathedral Square to Antakalnis.

On 18 June 1909, the provisions unusual for these days were included by City Duma into the law. The driver was required to be sober, not to behave rudely with passengers, not to smoke while driving, do not swear, not to sing, not to leave the vehicle unattended, run at a moderate speed, always keep on the right side of the road, not to race, warn slow moving vehicles with the help of audible alarm, overtake only on the left side, shed

5 The researchers of bus transport history in Europe propose that the first bus started running in Germany in 1895. It took 1 hour 20 minutes to pass through the route of 154 kilometres between the cities of Deuz and Siegen (Žičkus 2014a).
domesticated animals. The remains of konkė tracks in Vilnius can be seen at the embankment of the Vilnia confluence: they have been used for building a sidewalk guard from the slope.

Conclusions

Statistical data suggest that, according to the number of vehicles per 1000 inhabitants (more than 600), Vilnius is ranked very high worldwide (Vilniaus miesto susisiekimo... 2015). This index is alarmingly increasing: population surveys show that public transport is slow, uncomfortable, not punctual, having low prestige and suffering from poor waiting and driving conditions. Growing traffic jams, high air pollution in the streets and therefore experienced losses during the year exceed the city budget by more than one time and a half. All this forces to seek a way out of the encountered situation – a strategy for public transport reconstruction must be cardinaly rethought.

The problem of forthcoming public transport in Vilnius still remains unsolved, whereas the origin, evolution and first steps of a more than a century old transport system have been presented in this paper.
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